

Appendix F:

Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment

(Background Study)

**Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment
(Background Study)**

**Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS
Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study
Former Township of Trafalgar, Halton County
Town of Oakville, Regional Municipality of Halton, Ontario**

Prepared for:

Black and Veatch (B&V Water)
50 Minthorn Boulevard, Suite 501
Markham, Ontario, L3T 7X8
Tel: 905-747-8506
Fax: 905-747-0974
<http://bv.com/>

Archaeological Licence P392 (Paul David Ritchie)
MTCS PIF P392-0048-2014
ASI File 13EA-169

February 25, 2014



Archaeological Services Inc.

528 Bathurst St.
Toronto, Ontario
Canada, M5S 2P9

T 416-966-1069
F 416-966-9723
info@iASI.to/www.iASI.to

Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Background Study)

Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study Former Township of Trafalgar, Halton County Town of Oakville, Regional Municipality of Halton, Ontario

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Archaeological Services Inc (ASI) was contracted by Black and Veatch (B&V Water) on behalf of the Regional Municipality of Halton to conduct a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Background Study) as part of the Proposed Navy Street and Water Street Wastewater Pumping Stations (WWPS) Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) in the Regional Municipality of Halton. The purpose of the study is to address capacity and age issues at the Water Street and Navy Street WWPS as well as explore options for sewer improvements and collection system.

The Stage 1 background study determined that one previously registered archaeological sites is located within 1 km of the study area. A review of the geography and history of the study area suggested that the study area has potential for the identification of Aboriginal and Euro-Canadian archaeological resources. The entirety of the study area is documented to not retain archaeological potential due to disturbances caused by urban development.

In light of these results, ASI makes the following recommendations:

1. Due to extensive and deep land alterations that have severely damaged the integrity of any potential archaeological resources, the entirety of the study area does not retain archaeological potential and does not require further archaeological assessment; and,
2. Should the proposed work extend beyond the current study area then further Stage 1 assessment must be conducted to determine the archaeological potential of the surrounding lands.

Notwithstanding the results and recommendations presented in this study, ASI notes that no archaeological assessment, no matter how thorough or carefully completed, can necessarily predict, account for, or identify every form of isolated or deeply buried archaeological deposit. In the event that archaeological remains are found during subsequent construction activities, the consultant archaeologist, approval authority, and the Cultural Programs Unit of the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport should be immediately notified.



**ARCHAEOLOGICAL SERVICES INC.
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DIVISION**

PROJECT PERSONNEL

<i>Senior Project Manager:</i>	Lisa Merritt, MSc [MTCS license P094] <i>Senior Archaeologist, Manager (East)</i> <i>Environmental Assessment Division</i>
<i>Project Coordinator:</i>	Sarah Jagelewski, Hon. BA [MTCS license R405] <i>Assistant Manager</i> <i>Environmental Assessment Division</i>
<i>Project Manager (licensee):</i>	Paul David Ritchie, MA [MTCS licence P392] <i>Staff Archaeologist</i>
<i>Report Writer:</i>	Paul David Ritchie
<i>Graphics:</i>	Blake Williams, MLitt <i>Geomatics Specialist</i>
<i>Report Reviewer:</i>	Lisa Merritt



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
PROJECT PERSONNEL	iii
1.0 PROJECT CONTEXT	1
1.1 Development Context	1
1.2 Historical Context	2
1.2.1 Aboriginal Land Use and Settlement	2
1.2.2 Historic Euro-Canadian Land Use: Township Survey and Settlement	4
1.2.3 Historic Map Review	6
1.2.4 Summary of Historical Context	6
1.3 Archaeological Context	6
1.3.1 Current Land Use and Field Conditions	7
1.3.2 Geography	7
1.3.3 Previous Archaeological Research	8
1.3.4 Summary of Archaeological Context	9
2.0 ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS	10
2.1 Analysis of Archaeological Potential	10
2.2 Conclusions	10
3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	11
4.0 ADVICE ON COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION	12
5.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY	13
6.0 MAPS	18
7.0 IMAGES	25

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Previously registered sites within 1 km of the study area	9
--	---

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS Study Area Location	19
Figure 2: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street Study Area overlaid on 1877 map of Oakville	20
Figure 3: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS – Surficial Geology	21
Figure 4: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS – Archaeological Potential (Key Map)	22
Figure 5: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS – Archaeological Potential (Sheet 1)	23
Figure 6: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS – Archaeological Potential (Sheet 2)	24

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1: View of proposed entrance/exit shaft over existing man-hole in Lakeside Park. Area is disturbed. No potential. (Courtesy of BV)	25
--	----



1.0 PROJECT CONTEXT

Archaeological Services Inc (ASI) was contracted by Black and Veatch (B&V Water) on behalf of the Regional Municipality of Halton to conduct a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Background Study) as part of the Proposed Navy Street and Water Street Wastewater Pumping Stations (WWPS) Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) in the Regional Municipality of Halton (Figure 1). The purpose of the study is to address capacity and age issues at the Water Street and Navy Street WWPS as well as explore options for sewer improvements and collection system.

This assessment was conducted under the project direction and project management of Paul David Ritchie (PIF# P392-0048-2014) and the senior project management of Lisa Merritt (P094), both of ASI.

Section 1 of the Ministry of Tourism and Culture's 2011 document *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (S & G)*, administered by the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) discusses the objectives of a Stage 1 archaeological assessment as follows:

- To provide information about the geography, history, previous archaeological fieldwork and current land condition of the study area;
- To evaluate in detail the archaeological potential of the study area which can be used, if necessary, to support recommendations for Stage 2 archaeological assessment for all or parts of the property; and,
- To recommend appropriate strategies for Stage 2 archaeological assessment, if necessary.

This report describes the Stage 1 archaeological assessment that was conducted for this project and is organized as follows: Section 1.0 summarizes the background study that was conducted to provide the archaeological and historical context for the project study area; Section 2.0 addresses the field methods used for the property inspection that was undertaken to document its general environment, current land use history and conditions of the study area; Section 3.0 analyses the characteristics of the project study area and evaluates its archaeological potential; Section 4.0 provides recommendations for the next assessment steps; and the remaining sections contain other report information that is required by the *S & G*, e.g., advice on compliance with legislation, works cited, mapping and photo-documentation.

1.1 Development Context

All work has been undertaken as required by the *Environmental Assessment Act*, RSO (1990) and regulations made under the Act, and are therefore subject to all associated legislation. This project is being conducted under Schedule B of the Municipal Class EA process.

All activities carried out during this assessment were completed in accordance with the Municipal Engineers' Association document *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment* (2000, as amended in 2007 and 2011), the Ministry of the Environment document *Code of Practice: Preparing*,



Reviewing and Using Class Environmental Assessments in Ontario (2009), the *Ontario Heritage Act* (2005), and the *S & G*.

Authorization to carry out the activities necessary for the completion of the Stage 1 archaeological assessment was granted to ASI by B&V Water on December 24, 2013.

1.2 Historical Context

The purpose of this section, according to Section 7.5.7 (1) of the *S & G*, is to describe the past and present land use and the settlement history and any other relevant historical information gathered through the Stage 1 background research. First, a summary is presented of the current understanding of the Aboriginal land use of the study area. This is followed by a review of the historical Euro-Canadian settlement history.

1.2.1 *Aboriginal Land Use and Settlement*

Southern Ontario has been occupied by human populations, if only seasonally, since the retreat of the Laurentide glacier during what is known as the Paleo-Indian period, approximately 11,000 before present (BP) (Ellis and Deller 1990: 39-43). Populations at this time would have been highly mobile, inhabiting a boreal-parkland more similar to the modern sub-arctic. By the end of the 11th millennium BP the environment had progressively warmed (see Section 1.3.2) and populations now occupied less extensive territories (Ellis and Deller 1990: 62-63).

From the 10th to the first half of the 6th millennia BP the Great Lakes' basins experienced low-water levels and so it is likely that many sites which would have been located on those former shorelines are now submerged beneath Lake Ontario. This period produces the earliest evidence of heavy wood working tools and is indicative of greater investment of labour in felling trees for fuel, to build shelter, or to produce crafts and is ultimately indicative of prolonged seasonal residency at sites. By the 8th millennium BP evidence exists for polished stone implements and worked native copper. The latter's source from the north shore of Lake Superior is evidence of extensive exchange networks. By the middle of the 5th millennium BP, during the Late Archaic (4500 BP-3000 BP) period the earliest evidence exists at this time of fish weirs and cemeteries, indicative of increased social organization and investment of labour into social infrastructure, increased procurement of food, and establishing territories (Brown 1995: 13; Ellis *et al.* 1990; Ellis *et al.* 2009; *cf.* Sauer 1952).

The settlement and subsistence systems of the Early Woodland (1000 BC-400 BC) period are not entirely clear. Populations continued a semi-permanent existence and exploited seasonally available resources, and the harvesting of spawning fish continued to be an important part of their subsistence. Evidence still exists for extensive and complex exchange networks (Spence *et al.* 1990: 136, 138). By the second millennium BP in the Middle Woodland (400 BC-AD 1000) period evidence exists for *macro-band* camps, focussing on the seasonal exploitation of resources such as spawning fish and wild rice (Spence *et al.* 1990: 155, 164). It is also during this period that maize was first introduced into southern Ontario, though it would have only supplemented Middle Woodland people's diet (Birch and Williamson 2013: 13-15). Bands likely retreated to interior camps during the winter.



The advent of Iroquoian culture occurs during the Late Woodland (AD 1000-AD 1649) period though full expression of Iroquoian culture is not recognised archaeologically until the fourteenth century AD. During the Early Iroquoian (AD 1000-AD 1300) phase, the communal site is replaced by the village focussed on horticulture. Seasonal disintegration of the community for the exploitation of a wider territory and more varied resource base was still practised (Williamson 1990: 317). By the second quarter of the first millennium BP, during the Middle Iroquoian (AD 1300-AD 1450) phase, this episodic community disintegration was no longer practised and populations now communally occupied sites throughout the year (Dodd *et al.* 1990: 343). In the Late Iroquoian (AD 1450-AD 1649) phase this process continued with the coalescence of these small villages into larger communities (Birch and Williamson 2013). Through this process, the socio-political organization of the First Nations, as described historically by the French and English explorers who first visited southern Ontario, was developed.

The first record of a European visit to southern Ontario was made in 1615 by Samuel de Champlain, who reported that a group of Iroquoian-speaking people situated between the New York Iroquois and the Huron-Wendat were at peace and remained “la nation neutre”. In subsequent years the French visited and traded among the Neutral, but the first documented visit was not until 1626, when the Recollet missionary Joseph de la Roche Daillon recorded his visit to the villages of the Attiwandaron, whose name in the Huron-Wendat language meant “those who speak a slightly different tongue” (the Neutral apparently referred to the Huron-Wendat by the same term). Like the Huron-Wendat, Petun and New York Iroquois, the Neutral people were settled village agriculturalists. The Neutral territory included discrete settlement clusters in the lower Grand River, Fairchild-Big Creek, Upper Twenty Mile Creek, Spencer-Bronte Creek drainages, Milton, Grimsby, Eastern Niagara Escarpment and Onondaga Escarpment areas. The study area is situated near the lower drainage of Sixteen Mile Creek however located in the upper drainage of Sixteen Mile Creek is the documented Milton settlement cluster (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990: Figure 13.3). The Milton settlement cluster has documented occupation from the Glass Bead 1 period (AD 1580-AD 1600) (e.g. McClellahan site: Reid and Conway 1976) until the Glass Bead 3 Period (AD 1650-AD 1680) (e.g. McCarthy site: Reid and Conway 1976).

Between 1647 and 1651, the Neutral were decimated by epidemics and ultimately dispersed by the New York Iroquois, who subsequently settled along strategic trade routes on the north shore of Lake Ontario for a brief period during the mid seventeenth-century. One French explorer who is known to have entered the Burlington Bay area during this period was Rene-Robert Cavalier de La Salle, who left Montreal with a flotilla of nine canoes and eventually reached the head of Lake Ontario in September of 1669. After landing, de La Salle’s group travelled to the Seneca village of Tinaouataoua, the exact location of which is open to speculation (ASI 2005:13-14).

Compared to settlements of the New York Iroquois the “Iroquois du Nord” occupation of the landscape was less intensive. Only seven villages are identified by the early historic cartographers on the north shore and they are documented as considerably smaller than those in New York State. The populations were agriculturalists, growing maize, pumpkins and squash. These settlements also played the important alternate role of serving as stopovers and bases for New York Iroquois travelling to the north shore for the annual beaver hunt (Konrad 1974).

Beginning in the mid-late seventeenth century, the Mississaugas began to replace the Seneca as the controlling Aboriginal group along the north shore of Lake Ontario since the Iroquois confederacy had overstretched their territory between the 1650s and 1670s (Williamson 2008).



The Iroquois could not hold the region and agreed to form an alliance with the Mississauga peoples and share hunting territories with them (Williamson 2008). The Mississaugas traded with both the British and the French in order to have wider access to European materials at better prices, and used their strategic position on the Humber to act as trade intermediaries between the British and tribes in the north. By 1805, the lands from Burlington Bay to the Etobicoke River north of Eglinton Avenue were known as the 'Mississague Tract' (Boulton 1805: 48; Heritage Mississauga 2012: 18). The Mississaugas were also granted one mile (approximately 1.6 km) on either side of the Credit River, Twelve Mile Creek and Sixteen Mile Creek. In 1818, the remainder of the Mississauga Tract was acquired by the Crown excluding the lands tracts flanking the Credit River, Twelve Mile Creek and Sixteen Mile Creek. In 1820, the remainder of Mississauga land was surrendered except approximately 81 hectares (ha) along the Credit River (Heritage Mississauga 2012: 18).

In 1825-26 the Credit Indian Village was established as an agricultural community and Methodist mission near present day Port Credit (Heritage Mississauga 2009; MNCFN n.d.). By 1840 the village was under significant pressure from Euro-Canadian settlement that plans begun to relocate the settlement. In 1847 the Credit Mississaugas were made a land offer by the Six Nations Iroquois Council to relocate at Grand River. In 1847, 266 Mississaugas settled at New Credit, approximately 23 km southwest of Brantford. In 1848 a mission of the Methodist Church was established there by Rev. William Ryerson (WICEC 1985).

1.2.2 Historic Euro-Canadian Land Use: Township Survey and Settlement

The first Europeans to arrive in the area were transient merchants and traders from France and England, who followed Aboriginal pathways and set up trading posts at strategic locations along the well-traveled river routes. All of these occupations occurred at sites that afforded both natural landfalls for Great Lakes traffic and convenient access, by means of the various waterways and overland trails, into the hinterlands. Early transportation routes followed existing Aboriginal trails, both along the lakeshore and adjacent to various creeks and rivers (ASI 2006).

Historically, the study area is located in the Former Township of Trafalgar, County of Halton in part of Lots 14 and 15, Concession 3 south of Dundas Street and part of Lots 14 and 15, Broken Front Concession, within the historic Town of Oakville.

The *S & G* stipulates that areas of early Euro-Canadian settlement (pioneer homesteads, isolated cabins, farmstead complexes), early wharf or dock complexes, pioneer churches and early cemeteries, are considered to have archaeological potential. Early historical transportation routes (trails, passes, roads, railways, portage routes), properties listed on a municipal register or designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act* or a federal, provincial, or municipal historic landmark or site are also considered to have archaeological potential.

For the Euro-Canadian period, the majority of early nineteenth century farmsteads (i.e., those which are arguably the most potentially significant resources and whose locations are rarely recorded on nineteenth century maps) are likely to be located in proximity to water. The development of the network of concession roads and railroads through the course of the nineteenth century frequently influenced the siting of farmsteads and businesses. Accordingly, undisturbed lands within 100 m of an early settlement road are also considered to have potential for the presence of Euro-Canadian archaeological sites.



Trafalgar Township

The land within Trafalgar Township was acquired by the British from the Mississaugas in 1795. In 1806, Samuel Wilmot surveyed the townships of Nelson, Trafalgar and Toronto from land obtained in this purchase, using Dundas Street (Highway 5) as a baseline from which to survey two concessions north and four concessions south. Dundas Street had been surveyed in 1793 as a military road.

Early settlement was often influenced by the presence of watercourses. The development of the network of concession roads and railroads through the course of the nineteenth century also frequently influenced the siting of farmsteads and early industries.

Dundas Street, the baseline survey road in Trafalgar Township had been surveyed in 1793 as a military road connecting Lake Ontario, Lake Erie, Lake St. Clair and Lake Huron, as well as a road to aid Loyalist settlement and deter expansionist claims in Upper Canada. After the two concessions south of Dundas St. were opened up, two new east-west concession line access roads, the Upper Middle Road and the Lower Middle Road, were surveyed. These early east-west roads were later complemented in 1832 by the Lakeshore Road, which was constructed nearby and parallel to an aboriginal pathway skirting Lake Ontario. The concession roads of the 1806 survey, and the line roads running perpendicular, blocked out the township in areas a mile and quarter square (approximately 324 ha) with five 200-acre (approximately 81 ha) lots to a square. Between every five lots ran a line road (Mathews 1971: 45).

Trafalgar Township was first named “Grant Township” in honour of Alexander Grant, who was the administrator of Upper Canada. In 1806, it was renamed in honour of the victory by Horatio Viscount Nelson at Cabo Trafalgar in Spain the previous year. Nelson was initially settled by the children of Loyalists, soldiers who served during the War of 1812, and by immigrants from England, Scotland and Ireland. By the 1840s, the township was noted for its well cultivated farms (Armstrong 1985:148; Rayburn 1997:348; Smith 1846:197).

The earliest families to settle within the township included those of Sovereign, Proudfoot, Katting, Freeman, Post, Biggar, Mulholland, Kenney, Chalmer, Albertson, Chisholms, Sproat, Brown and Hagar. According to the 1877 atlas, it contained 548 inhabitants with one grist mill and four saw mills in 1817.

Town of Oakville

This “flourishing” post office town was situated on part Lots 12-16 Concession 3 South of Dundas Street and on part Lots 11-17 in the Broken Front Concession, Trafalgar Township. It was developed as a town site in 1827 by merchant-miller William Chisholm. The place was originally named Sixteen Mile Creek, but the name was changed to “Oakville” at the suggestion of Robert Baldwin Sullivan, on account of the large numbers of white oak that grew in the area. Two wharves extended into Lake Ontario at the mouth of the Sixteen Mile Creek which formed a protective harbour, and Oakville was therefore a port of entry. Registered plans of subdivision for this village date from 1837-1861. This town was also served by the Hamilton and Toronto Branch of the Great Western Railroad (now part of the CNR). The original depot was located in the vicinity of the present Oakville GO Station. In 1877, the town contained a square reserved for a market and town hall. It contained five churches, stores, hotels, mills and factories, ship building



yards, two telegraph offices and a weekly newspaper. The population was about 1,684 (Crossby 1873: 232; Mathews 1971; Rayburn 1997: 252; Scott 1997: 165; Winearls 1991: 757-758; Young 1957).

1.2.3 Historic Map Review

The 1877 *Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Halton* was reviewed to determine the potential for the presence of historic archaeological resources within the study area during the nineteenth century (Figure 2). It should be noted, however, that not all features of interest were mapped systematically in the Ontario series of historical atlases, given that they were financed by subscription, and subscribers were given preference with regard to the level of detail provided on the maps. Moreover, not every feature of interest would have been within the scope of the atlases.

The study area is located within the historic Town of Oakville. Historic mapping however does not indicate details of property ownership or historic features. The historic mapping does however indicate that Water Street, Randal Street, Lakeshore Road West, Robinson Street, William Street, Navy Street, King Street, and Front Street are all historic transportation routes. Further it indicates that the modern Oakville Club, Oakville Lawn Bowling Club, and Market Square Park are the historic Town of Oakville Marketplace and Town Hall. The historic mapping also indicates that the Water Street WWPS is historically situated upon on the former Sixteen Mile Creek flood plain (Figure 2).

Early twentieth century mapping indicates that the Water Street WWPS area was generally unoccupied and that the remainder of the study area was situated within a dense urban area; mapping indicates this situation until at least 1931 (Dept. of Militia and Defence 1909; Dept. of National Defence 1931).

1.2.4 Summary of Historical Context

The background research and historic mapping demonstrates that the study area is situated within the historic town of Oakville. All of the roads which align and intersect with the study area are historic transportation routes. The nineteenth century and early twentieth century mapping indicates that the Water Street WWPS was located upon the Sixteen Mile Creek flood plain and was subsequently unoccupied until at least 1931.

Further, the background research demonstrated that the study area retains potential for the recovery of Aboriginal archaeological resources. The study area lands may have been part of the extended territory of the Neutral Nation and was subsequently used by the Seneca for resource extraction as well as was settled by the Mississauga people until 1820.

1.3 Archaeological Context

This section provides background research pertaining to previous archaeological fieldwork conducted within and in the vicinity of the study area, its environmental characteristics (including drainage, soils or surficial geology and topography, etc.), and current land use and field conditions. Three sources of information were consulted to provide information about previous



archaeological research in the study area; the site record forms for registered sites housed at the MTCS; published and unpublished documentary sources; and the files of ASI.

1.3.1 Current Land Use and Field Conditions

The study area is located along the eastern bank of the Sixteen Mile Creek in the historic Town of Oakville. The study area is surrounded by residential and commercial land use and flanks the Oakville Yacht Club marina along the eastern shore of the Sixteen Mile Creek. The study area is primarily confined to existing ROWs. The stretch of Water Street, north of Lakeshore Road West is comprised of landfill (GENIVAR Inc. 2013) overlaying the historic Sixteen Mile Creek floodplain (Figure 2).

1.3.2 Geography

In addition to the known archaeological sites and historic features, the state of the natural environment is an important indicator of archaeological potential. Accordingly, a description of the study area geography, physiography and soils is provided below.

Section 1.3.1 of the *S & G* stipulates that primary water sources (lakes, rivers, streams, creeks, etc.), secondary water sources (intermittent streams and creeks, springs, marshes, swamps, etc.), ancient water sources (glacial lake shorelines indicated by the presence of raised sand or gravel beach ridges, relic river or stream channels indicated by clear dip or swale in the topography, shorelines of drained lakes or marshes, cobble beaches, etc.), as well as accessible or inaccessible shorelines (high bluffs, swamp or marsh fields by the edge of a lake, sandbars stretching into marsh, etc.) are characteristics that indicate archaeological potential.

Water has been identified as the major determinant of site selection and the presence of potable water is the single most important resource necessary for any extended human occupation or settlement. Since water sources have remained relatively stable in Ontario since 5,000 BP (Karrow and Warner 1990: Figure 2.16), proximity to water can be regarded as a useful index for the evaluation of archaeological site potential. Indeed, distance from water has been one of the most commonly used variables for predictive modeling of site location.

Section 1.3.1 of the *S & G* also lists other geographic characteristics that can indicate archaeological potential including: elevated topography (eskers, drumlins, large knolls, plateaux), pockets of well-drained sandy soil, especially near areas of heavy soil or rocky ground, distinctive land formations that might have been special or spiritual places, such as waterfalls, rock outcrops, caverns, mounds, and promontories and their bases. Physical indicators of use may be present, such as burials, structures, offerings, rock paintings or carvings. Resource areas, including; food or medicinal plants (migratory routes, spawning areas) are also considered characteristics that indicate archaeological potential.

The study area is situated within the Iroquois Plain physiographic region of southern Ontario, which is a lowland region bordering Lake Ontario, in sand plain. This region is characteristically flat and formed by lacustrine deposits laid down by the inundation of Lake Iroquois, a body of water that existed during the late Pleistocene. This region extends from the Trent River, around the western part of Lake Ontario, to the Niagara River, spanning a distance of approximately 300 km (Chapman and Putnam 1984:190). The old shorelines of Lake Iroquois include cliffs, bars,



beaches and boulder pavements. It should be noted that the original Lake Ontario shoreline runs through the northern portion of the study area.

Glacial Lake Iroquois came into existence by about 12,000 before present (BP) as the Ontario lobe of the Wisconsin glacier retreated from the Lake Ontario basin. Isostatic uplift and the blockage of subsequent lower outlets by glacial ice produced a water plain substantially higher than modern Lake Ontario. Beginning around 12,000 BP, water levels started to drop during the next few centuries in response to sill elevations at the changing outlet. By about 11,500 BP, when the St. Lawrence River outlet became established, the initial phase of Lake Ontario began and this low water phase appears to have lasted until at least 10,500 BP. During this period the waters stood as much as 100 m below current levels. At this time isostatic uplift had started to raise the outlet around Kingston so that by 10,000 BP the water level had risen to about 80 m below present. Uplift has continued to tilt Lake Ontario upward to the northeast, propagating a gradual and transgressive expansion throughout the basin (Anderson and Lewis 1985; Karrow 1967:49; Karrow and Warner 1990).

The old sandbars in this region are good aquifers that supply water to farms and villages. The gravel bars are quarried for road and building material, while the clays of the old lake bed have been used for the manufacture of bricks (Chapman and Putnam 1984:196). This narrow strip is the most densely inhabited area because of its proximity to Lake Ontario and its climatic influences, as well as its favourable soil conditions.

Surficial geology information is presented in Figure 3. The study area is underlain by sand.

The study area is located in proximity to Sixteen Mile Creek and Lake Ontario. Sixteen Mile Creek is one of three major watersheds in Halton Region and covers 35,700 ha (Conservation Halton n.d.). It originates at the Niagara Escarpment and transits the South Slope and Peel Plain physiographic regions meeting its confluence with Lake Ontario in the Iroquois Plain physiographic region at Oakville.

Lake Ontario has the highest ratio of drainage area to surface area of all the Great Lakes (Environment Canada 2013). It drains a total area of 6,403,000 hectares (ha), including 2,910,000 ha in Ontario (GLIN 2012). Lake Ontario in its modern geographical extent was formed by the isotatic rebound following the Nipissing Phase at approximately 5,000 BP (Karrow and Warner 1990).

1.3.3 Previous Archaeological Research

In Ontario, information concerning archaeological sites is stored in the Ontario Archaeological Sites Database (OASD) maintained by the MTCS. This database contains archaeological sites registered within the Borden system. Under the Borden system, Canada has been divided into grid blocks based on latitude and longitude. A Borden block is approximately 13 km east to west, and approximately 18.5 km north to south. Each Borden block is referenced by a four-letter designator, and sites within a block are numbered sequentially as they are found. The study area under review is located in Borden blocks *AiGw* and *AiGv*.

According to the OASD (MTCS 2014), one previously registered archaeological sites are located within 1 km of the study area. Site details are given below (Table 1).



Table 1: Previously registered sites within 1 km of the study area

Borden #	Site Name	Cultural Affiliation	Site Type	Researcher
AiGw-262		Euro-Canadian	Homestead	Golder 1994
Golder – Golder and Associates				

According to the background research, two previous archaeological assessment has been conducted within 50 m of the study area (ASI 2010a; 2010b). These assessments are reviewed below.

ASI (2010a) conducted a Stage 1 archaeological assessment for the Wastewater Pumping Station Capital Needs Assessment and Master Plan Class Environmental Assessment in the Regional Municipality of Halton under the project direction of Rob Pihl (MTC PIF P057-554-2009; P057-657-2010). The Stage 1 archaeological assessment included background research only. It recommended that a property inspection of the pumping stations to be affected by the proposed undertaking be undertaken to confirm archaeological potential and that Stage 2 archaeological assessment be conducted prior to any proposed construction within study area lands possessing archaeological potential.

ASI (2010b) conducted a Stage 1-2 archaeological assessment of 130 Navy Street, Block 56, Plan 1 in the Town of Oakville, Regional Municipality of Halton under the project direction of Denis McGuire (MCL CIF #P315-025-2010). The Stage 1 background research reviewed the developmental history of the study area and determined that the degree of historic development negated the potential for Aboriginal archaeological resources. The Stage 2 property assessment was conducted on June 23, 2010. No archaeological resources were identified. The study area was recommended to be considered free of archaeological concern.

1.3.4 Summary of Archaeological Context

The background research and historic mapping demonstrates that the study area is located in the Former Township of Trafalgar, County of Halton in part of Lots 14 and 15, Concession 3 south of Dundas Street and part of Lots 14 and 15, Broken Front Concession, within the historic Town of Oakville. The 1877 *Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Halton* indicates that all of the roads which align and intersect with the study area are historic transportation routes. These criteria indicate that the study area possesses potential for the recovery of Euro-Canadian archaeological resources, depending on the degree to which the natural topography and soils in the study area have been disturbed by historic and modern development.

Further, the background research demonstrates that the study area is located near the lower drainage of Sixteen Mile Creek and that the upper drainage of Sixteen Mile Creek is part of the documented Milton settlement cluster of the Neutral Nation. The region of the study area was subsequently utilised by the Seneca for resource extraction and settled by the Mississauga people until 1820. Therefore, the study area also has potential for the recovery of Aboriginal archaeological resources, depending on the degree to which the natural topography and soils in the study area have been disturbed by historic and modern development.



2.0 ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

The historical and archaeological contexts were analyzed to help determine the archaeological potential of the study area. A summary of the archaeological potential of the study area is presented in Section 2.1 of this report.

2.1 Analysis of Archaeological Potential

Section 1.3.1 of the *S&G* lists characteristics that indicate where archaeological resources are most likely to be found, and archaeological potential is confirmed when one or more features of archaeological potential are present. Accordingly, the study area meets the following criteria used for determining archaeological potential:

- Water source: primary, secondary, or past water source (e.g. Sixteen Mile Creek; Lake Ontario)
- Early historical transportation routes (e.g. Navy Street)
- Areas of early Euro-Canadian settlement (e.g. Oakville)

These criteria characterize the study area as having potential for the identification of Aboriginal and Euro-Canadian archaeological resources, depending on the degree of disturbance.

As per the MTCS (2013) document, *Winter Archaeology: A Technical Bulletin for Consultant Archaeologists in Ontario*, Stage 1 property inspection cannot be carried out under winter conditions. Winter conditions such as snow cover and frozen ground as per *S & G* Section 1.2 Standard 2, do not allow for adequate visibility of land features.

A large part of the study area is located upon documented landfill (GENIVAR Inc. 2013). The entirety of the proposed sewer alignments and the majority of the entrance/exit shafts are situated within the existing ROW. Typically, a ROW can be divided into two areas: the disturbed ROW, and ROW lands beyond the disturbed ROW. The typically disturbed ROW extends outwards from either side of the centerline of the traveled lanes, and it includes the traveled lanes and shoulders and extends to the toe of the fill slope, the top of the cut slope, or the outside edge of the drainage ditch, whichever is furthest from the centerline. Subsurface disturbance within these lands may be considered extreme and pervasive, thereby negating any archaeological potential for such lands. These areas therefore do not retain archaeological potential (Figure 5-6: areas marked in yellow). On-site inspection of Lakeside Park by Clair Collin of BV on August 21, 2013 (BV 2014) documented that the entrance/exit shaft located within Lakeside Park has been subject to deep and extensive land disturbance and does not retain archaeological potential (Figure 6: area marked in yellow; Plate 1).

2.2 Conclusions

The background study determined that one previously registered archaeological site is located within 1 km of the study area. A review of the geography and history of the study area suggested that the study area has potential for the identification of Aboriginal and Euro-Canadian archaeological resources. The entirety of the study area is documented to not retain



archaeological potential on account of being a landfill and ROW and utility disturbance. These area do not retain archaeological potential.

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

In light of the results of this assessment, ASI makes the following recommendations:

1. Due to extensive and deep land alterations that have severely damaged the integrity of any potential archaeological resources, the entirety of the study area does not retain archaeological potential (Figure 5-6: areas marked in yellow) and does not require further archaeological assessment; and,
2. Should the proposed work extend beyond the current study area then further Stage 1 assessment must be conducted to determine the archaeological potential of the surrounding lands.

Notwithstanding the results and recommendations presented in this study, ASI notes that no archaeological assessment, no matter how thorough or carefully completed, can necessarily predict, account for, or identify every form of isolated or deeply buried archaeological deposit. In the event that archaeological remains are found during subsequent construction activities, the consultant archaeologist, approval authority, and the Cultural Programs Unit of the MTCS should be immediately notified.



4.0 ADVICE ON COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION

ASI advises compliance with the following legislation:

- This report is submitted to the Minister of Tourism, Culture and Sport as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18. The report is reviewed to ensure that it complies with the standards and guidelines that are issued by the Minister, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations ensure the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario. When all matters relating to archaeological sites within the project area of a development proposal have been addressed to the satisfaction of the MTCS, a letter will be issued by the ministry stating that there are no further concerns with regard to alterations to archaeological sites by the proposed development;
- It is an offence under Sections 48 and 69 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* for any party other than a licensed archaeologist to make any alteration to a known archaeological site or to remove any artifact or other physical evidence of past human use or activity from the site, until such time as a licensed archaeologist has completed archaeological fieldwork on the site, submitted a report to the Minister stating that the site has no further cultural heritage value or interest, and the report has been filed in the Ontario Public Register of Archaeology Reports referred to in Section 65.1 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48 (1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork, in compliance with sec. 48 (1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*; and
- The *Cemeteries Act*, R.S.O. 1990 c. C.4 (as amended in 2012) and the *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act*, 2002, S.O. 2002, c.33 (when proclaimed in force) require that any person discovering human remains must notify the police or coroner.



5.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson, T.W. and C.F.M. Lewis
1985 Postglacial Water-Level History of the Lake Ontario Basin. In: *Quaternary Evolution of the Great Lake*. Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 30. Edited by: P.F. Karrow and P.E. Calkin, pp. 231-253.
- Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI)
2005 The Archaeological Study for the Growth Related Integrated Strategy, City of Hamilton. Final Report. Revised.

2006 Historical Overview and Assessment of Archaeological Potential Don River Watershed, City of Toronto.

2010a Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment (Background Research). Wastewater Pumping Station Capital Needs Assessment and Master Plan Class Environmental Assessment, Regional Municipality of Halton, Ontario.

2010b Stages 1-2 Archaeological Assessment of Landscape Plan at 130 Navy Street, Block 56, Plan 1, Town of Oakville, Regional Municipality of Halton.
- Armstrong, F.H.
1985 *Handbook of Upper Canadian Chronology*. Toronto: Dundurn Press.
- Birch, J. and R. F. Williamson
2013 *The Mantle Site: An Archaeological History of an Ancestral Wendat Community*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
- Black & Veatch (BV)
2014 Email communication, Clair G. Collin, February 25, 2014.
- Boulton, D'A.
1805 *Sketch of His Majesty's Province of Upper Canada*. London: C. Rickaby (reprinted in Toronto by the Baxter Publishing Company, 1961).
- Brown, J.
1995 On Mortuary Analysis – with Special Reference to the Saxe-Binford Research Program. In: *Regional Approaches to Mortuary Analysis*. Edited by: L. A. Beck. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 3-23.
- Chapman, L. J. and F. Putnam
1984 *The Physiography of Southern Ontario*. Ontario Geological Survey, Special Volume 2. Toronto: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.
- Conservation Halton
n.d. *Natural Environment: Watersheds*.
<<http://www.conservationhalton.on.ca/ShowCategory.cfm?subCatID=1000>>



Crossby, P.A.

1873 *Lovell's Gazetteer of British North America*. Montreal: John Lovell.

Department of Militia and Defence

1909 1:63,360. *Hamilton Sheet No. 33*

Department of National Defence

1931 1:63,360. *Hamilton Sheet No. 33*

Dodd, C.F., D.R. Poulton, P.A. Lennox, D.G. Smith and G.A. Warrick.

1990 The Middle Ontario Iroquoian Stage. In: *The Archaeology of Southern Ontario to A.D. 1650*. Edited by: C.J. Ellis and N. Ferris. Occasional Publication of the London Chapter, OAS Number 5. London: Ontario Archaeological Society Inc., pp. 321-360.

Ellis, C.J. and D.B. Deller

1990 Paleo-Indians. In: *The Archaeology of Southern Ontario to A.D. 1650*. Edited by: C.J. Ellis and N. Ferris. Occasional Publication of the London Chapter, OAS Number 5. London: Ontario Archaeological Society Inc., pp. 37-64.

Ellis, C.J., I.T. Kenyon and M.W. Spence

1990 The Archaic. In: *The Archaeology of Southern Ontario to A.D. 1650*. Edited by: C.J. Ellis and N. Ferris. Occasional Publication of the London Chapter, OAS Number 5. London: Ontario Archaeological Society Inc., pp. 65-124.

Ellis, C.J., P.A. Timmins and H. Martelle

2009 At the Crossroads and Periphery: The Archaic Archaeological Record of Southern Ontario. In: *Archaic Societies: Diversity and Complexity across the Midcontinent*. Edited by: T.E. Emerson, D.L. McElrath and A.C. Fortier. Albany, New York: State University of New York Press, pp. 787-837.

Environment Canada

2013 *The Lake Ontario Drainage Basin*. <<http://www.ec.gc.ca/grandslacs-greatlakes/default.asp?Lang=En&n=E5944FDF>>

GENIVAR Inc.

2013 Closed Oakville Navy Flats Landfill Site. Environmental Management Plan. Revised Draft.

Great Lakes Information Network (GLIN)

2012 *Lake Ontario Facts and Figures*. <<http://www.great-lakes.net/lakes/ref/ontfact.html>>

Heritage Mississauga

2009 *Port Credit*. <<http://www.heritagemississauga.com/page/Port-Credit>>



Heritage Mississauga

- 2012 *Heritage Guide: Mississauga*. Heritage Mississauga: Mississauga
<<http://www.heritagemississauga.com/assets/Heritage%20Guide%20-%20Final%20-%202012.pdf>>

Karrow, P.F.

- 1967 *Pleistocene Geology of the Scarborough Area*. Ontario Geological Survey Report 46. Toronto: Ministry of Natural Resources.

Karrow, P.F., and B.G. Warner

- 1990 The Geological and Biological Environment for Human Occupation in Southern Ontario. In *The Archaeology of Ontario to A.D. 1650*. Occasional Publication 5. Edited by: C.J. Ellis and N. Ferris. London, Ontario: London Chapter, Ontario Archaeological Society, pp. 5-36.

Konrad, V.A.

- 1974 *Iroquois Villages on the North Shore of Lake Ontario, 1665-1687*. Paper Presented at the Fall Meeting of the Ontario Historical Geographers. November 9, 1974, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario.

Lennox, P.A. and W.R. Fitzgerald

- 1990 The Culture History and Archaeology of the Neutral Iroquoians. In: *The Archaeology of Southern Ontario to A.D. 1650*. Edited by: C.J. Ellis and N. Ferris. Occasional Publication of the London Chapter, OAS Number 5. London: Ontario Archaeological Society Inc., pp. 405-456.

Mathews, H.C.

- 1971 *Oakville and the Sixteen*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Ministry of Consumer Services

- 1990 *Cemeteries Act* [as amended in 2012]

2002 *Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act*

Ministry of Culture

- 2005 *Ontario Heritage Act*.

Ministry of Environment

- 1990 *Environmental Assessment Act*

2009 *Code of Practice: Preparing, Reviewing and Using Class Environmental Assessments in Ontario*

Ministry of Tourism and Culture

- 2011 *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists*. Cultural Programs Branch, Ontario Ministry of Tourism and Culture, Toronto, Ontario.



Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS)

2013 *Winter Archaeology: A Technical Bulletin for Consultant Archaeologists in Ontario*

2014 Email communication, Robert von Bitter, MTCS Data Coordinator, January 31, 2014

Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation (MNCFN)

n.d. *History*.
<http://www.newcreditfirstnation.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=16&Itemid=17>

Municipal Engineers Association

2000 *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment* [as amended in 2007 and 2011]

Pope, J. H.

1877 *Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Halton, Ont.* Toronto: Walker & Miles.

Rayburn, A.

1997 *Place Names of Ontario*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Reid, C. and T. Conway

1976 The McClellahan Ossuary: A Study in Data Retrieval from a Looted, Early Historic Site. *Ontario Archaeology*, 26:31-45.

Sauer, C.O.

1952 *Agricultural Origins and Dispersal*. American Geographical Society.

Scott, D.E.

1997 *Ontario Place Names. The Historical, Offbeat or Humorous Origins of More Than 1,000 Communities*. Edmonton: Lone Pine Publishing.

Smith, W.H.

1846 *Smith's Canadian Gazetteer*. Toronto: H. & W. Roswell.

Spence, M.W., R.H. Pihl and C. Murphy

1990 Cultural Complexes of the Early and Middle Woodland Periods. In: *The Archaeology of Southern Ontario to A.D. 1650*. Edited by: C.J. Ellis and N. Ferris. Occasional Publication of the London Chapter, OAS Number 5. London: Ontario Archaeological Society Inc., pp. 125-170.

Williamson, R.F.

1990 The Early Iroquoian Period of Southern Ontario. In: *The Archaeology of Southern Ontario to A.D. 1650*. Edited by: C.J. Ellis and N. Ferris. Occasional Publication of the London Chapter, OAS Number 5. London: Ontario Archaeological Society Inc., pp. 291-320.



Williamson, R.F.

- 2008 *Toronto: An Illustrated History of its First 12,000 Years*. Edited by: R. Williamson. Toronto: James Lorimer & Co.

Winearls, J.

- 1991 *Mapping Upper Canada 1780-1867. An Annotated Bibliography of Manuscript and Printed Maps*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Woodland Indian Cultural Education Centre (WICEC)

- 1985 *Mississaugas of New Credit Reserve: Community Profile*
<<http://www.casbrant.ca/files/upload/Mississaugas%20of%20the%20New2.pdf>>

Young, C.J.

- 1957 *Oakville's 100 Years, 1857-1957* (no publisher cited).



6.0 MAPS








 <p>Archaeological Services Inc. 528 Bathurst St. T 416-966-1069 Toronto, Ontario F 416-966-9723 Canada, M5S 2P9 info@IASI.to/www.IASi.to</p>	<div style="border: 2px solid red; width: 33px; height: 25px; display: inline-block;"></div> Study Area
--	---

Figure 1: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS Study Area Location




 <p>Archaeological Services Inc. 528 Bathurst St. Toronto, Ontario Canada, M5S 2P9</p> <p>T 416-966-1069 F 416-966-9723 info@iasl.to/www.iasl.to</p>	 <p>Study Area</p>	<p>BASE: Oakville Historical Map Pope 1877</p>	<p>0 300 Meters</p> <p>ASI PROJECT NO.: 13EA-169/170 DATE: 04 Feb 2014</p> <p>DRAWN BY: BW FILE: 13EA169_Fig2_Hist</p>
--	---	---	--

Figure 2: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS Study Area overlaid on 1877 map of Town of Oakville



 <p>Archaeological Services Inc. 528 Bathurst St. Toronto, Ontario Canada, M5S 2P9 T 416-966-1069 F 416-966-9723 info@iasl.to/www.iasl.to</p>	 Study Area	 Diamicton	<div> <div></div> <div>0</div> <div>250</div> </div> <p>Meters</p>
	 Paleozoic Bedrock	 Sand	

Figure 3: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS - Surficial Geology



 <p>Archaeological Services Inc. 528 Bathurst St. T 416-966-1069 Toronto, Ontario F 416-966-9723 Canada, M5S 2P9 info@IASI.to/www.IAS±.to</p>	 <p>Study Area</p>	BASE: Oakville Ortho ESRI Captured Feb 4 2014	 <p>0 300 Meters</p> <p>ASI PROJECT NO.: 13EA-169/170 DATE: 04 Feb 2014</p> <p>DRAWN BY: BW FILE: 13EA169_Fig4_KP</p>
--	--	--	---

Figure 4: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS - Archaeological Potential (Key Map)



Archaeological Services Inc.
 528 Bathurst St. T 416-966-1069
 Toronto, Ontario F 416-966-9723
 Canada, M5S 2P9 info@IASI.to/www.IAS±.to



Study Area



No Archaeological Potential: Disturbed

BASE:

Oakville Ortho
 ESRI
 Captured Feb 4 2014


0 125

Meters

ASI PROJECT NO.: 13EA-169/170
 DATE: 04 Feb 2014

DRAWN BY: BW
 FILE: 13EA169_Fig5_S1

Figure 5: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS - Archaeological Potential (Sheet 1)




 <p>Archaeological Services Inc. 528 Bathurst St. Toronto, Ontario Canada, M5S 2P9</p> <p>T 416-966-1069 F 416-966-9723 info@iasl.to/www.iasl.to</p>	<p> Study Area</p> <p> No Archaeological Potential: Disturbed</p>	<p>BASE: Oakville Ortho ESRI Captured Feb 4 2014</p>	<p> 0 125</p> <p>Meters</p> <p>ASI PROJECT NO.: 13EA-169/170 DATE: 25 Feb 2014</p> <p>DRAWN BY: BW FILE: 13EA169_Fig6_S2</p>
--	---	---	--

Figure 6: Proposed Navy Street and Water Street WWPS - Archaeological Potential (Sheet 2)

7.0 IMAGES



Plate 1: View of proposed entrance/exit shaft over existing man-hole in Lakeside Park. Area is disturbed. No potential. (Courtesy of BV)

