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### 1.0 Purpose Of Class EA and Traffic \& Safety Assessment

Halton Region has undertaken a Schedule 'C' Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Study to identify transportation corridor improvements to satisfy future travel demands on Ninth Line (Regional Road 13), from 10 Side Road (Regional Road 10) to Highway 407, in the Town of Halton Hills pursuant to the Municipal Class EA process (MEA October 2000, as amended in 2007 and 2011). The Municipal Class EA is an approved planning process under the Ontario Environmental Assessment Act (EAA). The Ninth Line (Regional Road 13), from 10 Side Road (Regional Road 10) to Highway 407, in the Town of Halton Hills Class EA Study took into consideration the future transportation needs within the corridor while balancing the potential impacts on the natural, socio-economic and cultural environments.

The Halton Region Transportation Master Plans, the Region in Motion (2004) and Halton Transportation Master Plan - The Road to Change (2011), herein referred to the TMPS, both concluded that the existing capacity of the Ninth Line transportation corridor would be insufficient to accommodate expected growth within the planning time horizons of the TMPs. Both TMPs recommended that Ninth Line be widened from two lanes to four lanes.

The purpose of this Ninth Line Transportation Improvements Class Environmental Assessment Study is to review, evaluate, and recommend improvements to Ninth Line within the project limits, including widening of the roadway to four-lanes as recommended in the 2004 TMP and confirmed in the Regional TMP (2011). This traffic and safety assessment report provides an overview of the existing conditions along the Ninth Line corridor within the project limits and documents the traffic forecasting that was completed to obtain the 2031 travel demands at the study intersections.

### 2.0 BACKGROUND

Halton Region completed its Transportation Master Plan (2031) - The Road to Change, in September 2011. This Regional TMP (the TMP) provides strategic direction to Regional transportation policy, programming, and infrastructure priorities to 2031. The TMP was conducted in accordance with Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (June 2000 as amended in 2007 and 2011). All roadway improvement projects recommended as part of the TMP are Schedule ' $C$ ' projects requiring completion of Phases 3 and 4 of the Municipal Class EA process.

The TMP conducted numerous analyses to define the need for north/south capacity improvements in the general area of Ninth Line taking into account the Halton-Peel Boundary Area Transportation study (HPBATS), the GTA-West Transportation Corridor (MTO), and proposed improvements to Winston Churchill Blvd. Transportation system deficiencies were identifiable by analyzing the travel demand to 2031. The current transportation systems were unable to maintain the current level of service even with planned system improvements. Output from the Region's Demand Forecasting model for Screenline Level Deficiency Analysis under 2016 PM traffic condition shows that SL 58 (5 roadways) would have a volume to capacity ratio (V/C) of 0.87 and Ninth Line south of 10 Side Road requires an additional lane. Regional Screenline analyses within the study area along with proposed transportation solutions are presented in
Table 2-1.
Table 2-1: Screenline Level Deficiency Analysis under 2016 PM traffic condition

| Halton Hills Screenlines | Deficiency | Proposed Transportation Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $58-$ South of Georgetown | $9^{\text {th }}$ Line operating over capacity | Add 1 lane in each direction |
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### 3.0 Study Area

The Ninth Line Transportation Corridor (Ninth Line) is an important corridor connecting Georgetown to the north, with Milton, Oakville, Mississauga and Highways 401/407/QEW to the south. The study area includes Ninth Line from Highway 407 as the south limit to 10 Side Road as a north limit, as well as a section of Steeles Avenue, a length of approximately 7.2 kilometres. The boundaries of the study area are shown in Figure 3-1.

Within the project limits, Ninth Line intersects with three roadways - 10 Side Road, 5 Side Road, and Steeles Avenue (as shown in Figure 3-1). In addition, residential driveways and agricultural equipment access routes connect to Ninth Line on both sides throughout the corridor. Ninth Line, within the study area, is designated as part of the Regional Road Network and is functionally classified as a Major Arterial in the November 2014 Regional Official Plan and also recognized in the Town of Halton Hills Official Plan (2008).


Figure 3-1: Study area with respect to surrounding road network

### 4.0 Existing Transportation Network

Ninth Line is a currently a rural north-south two-lane arterial road beginning at Upper Middle Road in the Town of Oakville extending north to 10 Side Road in the Town of Halton Hills. Located near the eastern limits of Halton Region, Ninth Line traverses through urban area in the Town of Oakville in the south and then to rural residential in the Town of Halton Hills providing Regional connection.

Ninth Line (RR13), within the study area, is designated as part of the regional road network and is functionally classified as a Major Arterial in the Regional Official Plan and also recognized in the Town of Halton Hills Official Plan (2008). Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2 present regional road network and the
functional classification of the road network within the study area, as detailed per the summary in Table 4.1.


Figure 4-1: Regional Structure of Road Network within Study Area


Figure 4-2: Functional Classification of Road Network within Study Area

Table 4-1: Roadway Functional Classification

| Road Section | Regional <br> Structure | Functional <br> Classification |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ninth Line between 10 Side Road and 5 Side Road | RR 13 | Major Arterial |
| 5 Side Road | n/a | Minor Arterial |
| Ninth Line between 5 Side Road and Steeles Avenue | RR 13 | Major Arterial |
| Steeles Avenue | RR 8 | Major Arterial |
| Ninth Line south of Steeles Avenue and within study area <br> limit | RR 13 | Major Arterial |

### 4.1 Roadway Characteristics

Ninth Line (RR13), within the study area, is a two-lane roadway with a rural cross-section and changes to a 4-lane roadway with an urban cross-section to the north of its intersection with 10 Side Road. The posted speed limit on Ninth Line within the study area is shown in Table 4.2.

Table 4-2: Posted Speed Limit within Study Area

| Ninth Line Section | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| North of 10 Side Road within the study area limit | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Between 10 Side Road and 5 Side Road | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Between Steeles Avenue Side and 5 Side Road | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| South of Steeles Avenue and within the study area limit | $---\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $---\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Ninth Line, within the study area boundaries, has relatively narrow shoulders and ditches on either side. Predominantly farm lands are located on both sides of Ninth Line between the section of Steeles Avenue and 10 Side Road along with some residential pockets within the study area. Passing is mostly permitted for both northbound and southbound traffic but is prohibited in sections throughout the corridor (i.e. near the driveway locations). There is no sidewalk on either side of the roadway within study limits. Narrow gravel shoulders are currently provided on both sides of the roadway.

Within the project limits, Ninth Line intersects with three roadways - 10 Side Road, 5 Side Road, and Steeles Avenue (two locations).

10 Side Road (RR 10) has an east-west alignment and extends from Regional Road 25 in the west to Winston Churchill Blvd in the east. It is a two-lane roadway without a median and has a rural cross section within the study area and changes to a two-lane cross section with raised median and an exclusive LT lane for WB traffic at the intersection with Ninth Line. It has gravel shoulders on both sides of the roadway. Curb starts on the north and south side of east and west approach closer to the intersection with Ninth Line. Posted speed for eastbound and westbound traffic is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ within the study area limit. 10 Side Road from Ninth Line to Tenth Line is scheduled for reconstruction, from Fall 2014 - Fall 2015, from a two lane rural cross section to a two lane semiurban cross section.

5 Side Road is a two-lane roadway without a median and has a rural cross section within the study area limit. It has gravel shoulders on both sides of the roadway. Posted speed for eastbound and westbound traffic is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ within the study area.

Steeles Avenue (RR 8) was under construction during the development of this Class EA study. As of the writing of this Transportation Report, Steeles Avenue is being widened from two lanes to four lanes. The two intersections with Ninth Line are being upgraded as part of the Steeles Avenue construction and are controlled by traffic signals.

The existing cross section of the road network within the study area is summarized in Table 4.3. The study area roadway network depicting road configurations and intersection traffic control as presented in Figure 4.3.

Table 4-3: Road Cross-Sections

| Road Section | Road Cross Section |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ninth Line north of 10 Side Road within study area limit | Four-lane roadway with raised median and <br> urban cross section |
| 10 Side Road | Four-lane roadway with rural cross section and <br> a raised median and urban cross section closer <br> to the intersection with Ninth Line |
| Ninth Line between 10 Side Road and 5 Side Road | Two-lane roadway without median and rural <br> cross section |
| 5 Side Road | Two-lane roadway without median and rural <br> cross section |
| Ninth Line between 5 Side Road and Steeles Avenue | Two-lane roadway without median and rural <br> cross section |
| Steeles Avenue | Currently under construction for upgrading <br> from two-lanes to four-lanes |
| Ninth Line south of Steeles Avenue and within study area limit | Two-lane roadway without median and rural <br> cross section |

### 4.2 Right-Of-Way

The right-of-way (ROW) classification of the road network within the study area is presented in Table 4.4 and is shown in Figure 4.4.

Table 4-4: Roadway Right-of-Way

| Road Section | ROW |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ninth Line north of 10 Side Road within study area limit | 35 m |
| 10 Side Road | 35 m |
| Ninth Line between 10 Side Road and 5 Side Road | 35 m |
| 5 Side Road | 30 m |
| Ninth Line between 5 Side Road and Steeles Avenue | 35 m |
| Steeles Avenue | 35 m |
| Ninth Line south of Steeles Avenue and within study area limit | 35 m |



Figure 4-3: Existing 2014 Lane Configuration and Traffic Signal Control


Figure 4-4: Right-of-Way (ROW) Classification of Road Network within Study Area
As per the TMP, Ninth Line is planned for a 42 m ROW between 10 Side Road and Steeles Avenue. The planned 2031 ROW and road cross sections of Regional Roads within the study area are presented in Table 4.5.

Table 4-5: ROW (Ultimate)

| Road Section | Category | Proposed <br> ROW | Number of <br> Shared Travel <br> Lanes | Number of <br> Priority Lanes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Side Road within study area limit | Corridor (1) | 42 m | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Ninth Line between 10 Side Road and 5 Side <br> Road | Rural (2) | 42 m | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Ninth Line between 5 Side Road and Steeles <br> Avenue | Rural (2) | 42 m | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Steeles Avenue within study area | Corridor (4) | 47 m | 4 | $\mathrm{HOV} / \mathrm{RBL}(2)$ |
| Ninth Line south of Steeles Avenue and <br> within study area limit | Rural (2) | 42 m | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: Regional Transportation Master Plan 2031 - The Road to Change
The cross-section of Rural (2) category as identified in the TMP is shown in Figure 4.5.


Figure 4-5: Cross-Section of Rural (2) Category as identified in the TMP

### 4.3 Intersection Control and Access

Even though Ninth Line intersects with only three roadways, there are four intersections within the study area due to the offset alignment of the Ninth Line (North) and Ninth Line (South) roadways at Steeles Avenue. All are signalized intersections.

Within the study area, access from adjacent land uses to Ninth Line primarily consists of residential and farm entrances.

### 4.4 Active Transportation Infrastructure

Currently, Ninth Line does not accommodate active transportation within the study limits.

### 4.4.1 Halton Active Transportation Master Plan (ATMP)

The TMP had recommended the development of a Region-wide Active Transportation Plan. Halton Region undertook an Active Transportation Master Plan (ATMP) study to the year 2031 to develop strategies, assess infrastructure requirements, and support initiatives and programs to promote active transportation throughout the Region. The ATMP was finalized in May 2015.

The preferred (high level) strategy alternative identified to achieve the vision for Active Transportation included developing a Regional Walking and Cycling Network in combination with other initiatives and updates to policies and guidelines. The preferred network alternative for Regional Walking and Cycling Network included providing active transportation facilities along all Regional roads and some routes of regional significance along the local municipal corridors.

The ATMP proposes the Regional Cycling Network as shown in Figure 4.6 and the Regional Walking Network as shown in Figure 4.7 respectively.


Figure 4-6: Proposed Regional Cycling Network as per Halton Active Transportation Master Plan


## LEGEND

Proposed Regional Walk Network

| 3****5 | Boulevard Mult-Use Trail |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Interchange Improvement |

Routes not on Regional Roads

- Existing Routes that are Regionally Significant Planned Routes that are Regionally Significant
Existing Regional Walk Network
- Sidewalk
*****s: Bruce Trai
*ะve*** Waterfront Trail
Existing and Proposed Major Transit Stations**
(.) Mobility Hub
e Existing GO Stations
(8) Proposed GO Stations

Figure 4-7: Proposed Regional Walking Network as per Halton Active Transportation Master Plan

### 4.4.2 Halton Hills Active Transportation Master Plan (2010)

Existing cycling facility, recommended facility type and implementation schedule as per Halton Hills Cycling Master Plan (2010) is shown in Figure 4.8, Figure 4.9 and Figure 4.10 respectively and the details are summarized in Table 4.6.


Figure 4-8: Existing Cycling Facility as proposed in Halton Hills Cycling Master Plan (2011)


Figure 4-9: Recommended Cycling Facility as proposed in Halton Hills Cycling Master Plan (2011)


Figure 4-10: Implementation Schedule of Cycling Facility as proposed in Halton Hills Cycling Master Plan (2011)
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Table 4-6: Recommended Cycling Facility Type and Implementation Schedule

| Road Section | Recommended Facility Type | Implementation Schedule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ninth Line north of 10 Side Road within study area limit | Existing Off-Road Route | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 10 Side Road | Existing  Off-Road <br> Route on West <br> approach  but <br> proposed Off-Road  <br> Route on East <br> approach at a <br> minimum width of <br> 3.0 m   | East of Ninth Line: <br> Mid-term (2 to 10 years) |
| Ninth Line between 10 Side Road and 5 Side Road | Proposed paved  <br> shoulder at a <br> minimum width of <br> 1.5 m   | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mid-term } \begin{array}{l} \text { M } \\ \text { years) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 Side Road | Proposed paved  <br> shoulder at a <br> minimum width of <br> 1.5 m   | West of Ninth Line: <br> Mid-term (2 to 10 years) <br> East of Ninth Line: <br> Long-term (11+ years) |
| Ninth Line between 5 Side Road and Steeles Avenue | Proposed paved  <br> shoulder at a <br> minimum width of <br> 1.5 m   | Mid-term (2 to 10 years) |
| Steeles Avenue | Proposed paved   <br> shoulder at  a <br> minimum width of  <br> 1.5 m    | Long-term (11+ years) |
| Ninth Line south of Steeles Avenue and within study area limit | Proposed paved  <br> shoulder at a <br> minimum width of <br> 1.5 m   | Long-term (11+ years) |

### 4.5 TRANSIT

Currently there is no local transit service within the limits of the study area.
Halton Hills ActiVan Accessible Transit service provides public transportation for seniors aged 65 or older, and for persons with physical, medical or cognitive disabilities within the municipal boundaries of Halton Hills. This on-demand paid service is provided for registered users from Monday to Friday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm and on evenings and weekends by advance booking.

The Red Cross, which is funded by the Ministry of Health and Long Term Care, also provides transportation services for medical appointments and day programs to seniors (over 60 years) and to persons with disabilities during weekends.

### 5.0 Network Analysis

### 5.1 Analysis Period and Design Hour

2031 was considered as the horizon year and traffic conditions during a typical weekday PM peak hours were considered for the traffic operations analysis.

As Steeles Avenue is currently under construction, the intersection of Steeles Avenue with Ninth Line was not considered for analysis under current conditions. Only the intersections of Ninth Line with 10 Side Road, and Ninth Line with 5 Side Road were considered for operations analysis of roadways within the study area.

### 5.2 DATA SOURCE

Turning Movement Count (TMC) data provided by Halton Region was used to carry out this analysis. TMC data for the intersection of Ninth Line and 10 Side Road was dated April 2, 2014; and for the intersection of Ninth Line and 5 Side Road was dated May 16, 2013. Appendix A provides the TMC data that was used in this analysis. In addition to this, signal timing and Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) data was also provided by Halton Region.

### 5.3 Existing Traffic Characteristics

### 5.3.1 Traffic Volume

Table 5.1 provides a summary of the peak hour at the study intersections and the Peak Hour Factor (PHF) considered for analysis as obtained from the TMC data.

Table 5-1: Weekday PM peak hour at the study intersections and the PHF factor

| Criteria | Ninth Line @ 10 Side Road | Ninth Line @ 5 Side Road |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | PM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Data date | April 2, 2014 | May 16, 2013 |
| Peak Hour | $4: 00-5: 00$ | $4: 45-5: 45$ |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.97 |

Details of the location of the ATR station, the Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) count and the time of maximum traffic volumes as summarized from the ATR information is shown in Table 5.2.

Table 5-2: AADT count and Maximum Traffic Volume

| HI-STAR <br> ID | Data Date | Street | Location | Direction | AADT | Maximum Volume \& Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1FF5E | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Sep. } 2013 \\ & \text { to } \end{aligned}$ | REG. RD. \#13 | Between Steeles Av \& 5 Side Rd | NB | 5233 | 128 @ 5:45 PM |
| 10852D | 13 Sep. 2013 | REG. RD. \#13 | Between Steeles Av \& 5 Side Rd | SB | 5045 | 129 @ 3:45 PM |
| 10FDC8 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { Sep. } 2013 \\ & \text { to } \end{aligned}$ | REG. RD. \#13 | Between 5 Side Rd \& 10 Side Rd | NB | 5713 | 180 @ 6:00 PM |
| 10FDCB | 18 Sep. 2013 | REG. RD. \#13 | Between 5 Side Rd \& 10 Side Rd | SB | 6098 | 222 @ 7:30 AM |

Based on 2013 ATR data, Ninth Line carries approximately 5,000 vehicles on a daily basis between Steeles Avenue and 5 Side Road; and approximately 6,000 vehicles on a daily basis between 5 Side Road and 10 Side Road. The maximum traffic volume was observed during the PM period for both the northbound and southbound directions; whereas, the maximum traffic volume between the 5 Side Road and 10 Side Road northbound direction was observed during the PM peak period and for the southbound direction was observed during the AM peak period. Two-way traffic volumes on Ninth Line are approximately 1,200 vehicles per hour during the weekday (PM) peak hour, and are expected to increase to approximately 2,600 vehicles per hour by 2031 for the same period (which exceeds the capacity of a two lane road).

A summary of the 2014 turning movement counts along the study corridor is presented in Figure 5.1.
It is important to note these traffic volumes were obtained prior to the commencement of the Steeles Avenue construction. The traffic patterns indicate avoidance of the Steeles Avenue and Ninth Line intersection in the morning period due to poor service levels. The improvements under construction will address this issue.

### 5.3.2 Operating Speed

Observations from the ATR data regarding operating speeds on the roadways within the study area are summarized in Table 5.3:

Table 5-3: Percentage of vehicle travelling above posted speed limit

| Street |  | Location | Direction | Posted Speed | Observed Range | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REG. <br> $\# 13$ | RD. | Between Steeles Av \& 5 Side <br> Rd | NB | 80 | $80-89$ | $33.68 \%$ |
| REG. <br> $\# 13$ | RD. | Between Steeles Av \& 5 Side <br> Rd | SB | 80 | $80-89$ | $41.91 \%$ |
| REG. <br> $\# 13$ | RD. | Between 5 Side Rd \& 10 Side <br> Rd | NB | 80 | $90-99$ | $31.54 \%$ |
| REG. <br> $\# 13$ | RD. | Between 5 Side Rd \& 10 Side <br> Rd | SB | 80 | $80-89$ | $38.03 \%$ |

A review of vehicle operating speeds indicates that vehicles are generally travelling above the posted speed limit in the range of about $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ except for the northbound section between 5 Side Road and 10 Side Road where the vehicle operating speed exceeds the posted speed limit by a range of $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.


Figure 5-1: Existing 2014 Weekday PM Peak Hour Traffic Volume

Figure 5.2 shows the northbound and southbound speed profile for the Ninth Line roadway section between 10 Side Road and 5 Side Road during a typical weekday; and Figure 5.3 shows the same between the section of 5 Side Road and Steeles Avenue.


Figure 5-2: Speed profile for the northbound and southbound Ninth Line between the section of 10 Side Road and 5 Side Road


Figure 5-3: Speed profile for the northbound and southbound Ninth Line between the section of 5 Side Road and Steeles Avenue

### 5.4 Existing Traffic Operations

The concept of capacity and Level of Service (LOS) are central to the operational analysis of intersections. Capacity analysis is a process that is used to describe how well an intersection will perform under various traffic conditions and the results can assist in evaluating the need for improvements. At signalized intersections, capacity is normally evaluated using the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio, which describes the extent of available capacity used by vehicles either within the intersection as a whole or for specific lanes or movements. The overall intersection sufficiency is measured using a composite v/c ratio for the sum of the critical lanes or movements within the intersection. The $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio is measured by a fractional value between zero and one. A v/c ratio near one suggests fully utilized capacity. Additionally, the movements or lane groups with a v/c ratio in excess of established thresholds were identified as "critical" movements. The thresholds correspond to:

- a v/c ratio of 0.85 for individual through movement and for shared through movements; and
- a v/c of 0.95 for exclusive turning movements.

LOS is a qualitative concept used to define the quality of service of traffic condition at an intersection and/or road section. Six measures of LOS are defined with LOS A representing the best operating condition and LOS F the worst.

Figure 4.3 shows the existing lane configurations and traffic signal control within the study area boundaries, and the existing traffic volumes for the weekday PM peak hour are shown in Figure 5.1. As the study intersection is a signalized intersection, capacity analysis involved measuring the overall intersection sufficiency using a composite volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio; and, LOS was determined by assessing the average control delay per vehicle for various movements within the intersection.

Therefore, the overall operational performance of the study intersection was measured by the intersection overall v/c ratio. Table $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ summarizes the overall intersection control delay, the overall intersection $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio and the intersection overall LOS obtained from operational analysis of the weekday PM peak hour traffic volume using Synchro/SimTraffic operational analysis software which uses Highway Capacity Manual methodology. The detailed Synchro operational analysis reports for the existing condition are given in Appendix B.

Table 5-4: Existing (2014) Weekday PM Peak Hour Operational Performance

| Ninth Line @ | Movement | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Control Delay <br> (seconds) | v/c Ratio | LOS |
|  |  | No critical movement |  |  |
| 5 Side Road | Overall | 21.9 | No critical movement |  |
|  |  | Overall | 23.7 | C.73 |

### 5.5 Collision History

A preliminary review of collision history from 2010 to 2015 was undertaken for each intersection on Ninth Line as well as the mid-block sections within the corridor. Collision reports were analyzed to determine the type and pattern of collision within the study area and the observations are summarized below:

Ninth Line between Steeles Avenue and 5 Side Road

1. Read End type and Single Motor Vehicle (SMV) type collisions were predominant along this session of the roadway.
2. $35 \%$ of the total collisions were Rear End type collisions. The major factors contributing to Rear End type collisions were speeding and following too closely.
3. The major cause of the $39 \%$ of the SMV type collisions were due to losing control with the contributing factors being wet/snow conditions resulting in skidding/sliding.
4. $8 \%$ of the total collisions which contributed to Sideswipe type collision could also justify the lack of opportunity to overtake.

Ninth Line and 5 Side Road (Intersection)

1. Angle type, Rear End type, and SMV type collisions were mostly observed at this intersection.
2. Analysis of Angle Type collisions showed that majority of accidents involved pick-up trucks/large vehicles moving in the south direction while colliding with automobiles moving in the east or west direction.
3. Rear End type collisions mostly occurred due to vehicles following too closely.

Ninth Line between 5 Side Road and 10 Side Road

1. SMV type collision dominated this session of the roadway (around $74 \%$ of the collisions).
2. Major contributing factors for SMV type collisions were - skidding/sliding in wet/snow conditions, speeding and running off the road.

Ninth Line and 10 Side Road (Intersection)

1. No particular type of collision pattern was observed at this intersection.
2. Through and Angle type collisions contributed to $66 \%$ of the collision type, the absoulute number of collisions in a year was low.

### 5.6 COLLISION SUMMARY

Analysis of the collision observations is summarized below:

- The operating speed characteristics during the weekday PM peak hour may suggest aggressive driver behavior and may be contributing to the Rear End type collisions. Another reason for this could be lack of opportunity to overtake.
- The predominant SMV type collision and sideswipe type collision observed in the sections on this roadway may be alleviated by increasing the through lane capacity.

The collision experience along the Ninth Line corridor is typical of a commuter route that is more heavily travelled during the weekdays, experiencing highest traffic volumes during the weekday morning and afternoon peak periods. The predominant collision patterns suggest that some of the collisions may be avoided in the future through road improvements, such as widening (more capacity, provision of auxiliary lanes) and design improvements for visibility.

### 5.7 TRAFFIC FORECASTS (2031)

Traffic forecasting is an integral part of the transportation planning process. It serves as an analysis tool for transportation planners and aids decision-makers in the evaluation of transportation network. In addition to the analysis undertaken as part of the transportation master planning process, traffic projections for the study area were derived from existing traffic volumes and considered link volumes and growth factors for Ninth Line. Crossing roadways within the project limit were also forecasted based on data from the Halton Region Transportation Demand Forecasting Model.

The future traffic estimates for the study corridor were developed from the Regional Travel Demand Forecasting Model for the years 2021 and 2031. The land use reflected in the forecasts for each year includes the planned future developments within the Town of Halton Hills and generalized growth across Halton Region by 2031, as per the approved Best Planning Estimates. The Region's model forecast also reflect the approved roadway Capital Program as identified in the Halton Transportation Master Plan (2031) - The Road to Change.

The Region's model provides directional travel demands for weekday PM peak hour on modelled road sections ('links').

The land use reflected in the forecasts for each year includes the planned future developments within the Town of Halton Hills and generalized growth across Halton Region by 2031, as per the approved Best Planning Estimates.

The Region's model provides directional travel demands for weekday PM peak hour on modelled road sections (links). The following Screenlines relevant to the study area, presented in Table 5.5, were considered in the analysis.

Table 5-5: Descriptions of Screenlines used in the Regional Travel Demand Forecasting Model

| Screenline Identification <br> No. | Screenline Name | Location | Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | West Georgetown | Between Trafalgar Rd <br> and Eighth Line | EB-WB |
| 74 | West of Winston Churchill | North of Tenth Line | EB-WB |
| 15 | West of Ninth Line | South of Ninth Line <br> (between Eighth and <br> Ninth Line) | EB-WB |
| 59 | Central Georgetown south <br> of 17th Side Rd | North of 10 Side Rd | NB-SB |
| 58 | Georgetown South | Between 10 Side Rd <br> and 5 Side Rd | NB-SB |
| 56 | East Halton Hills North of <br> Steeles Avenue | Between Steeles Av <br> and 5 Side Rd | NB-SB |

The travel demand model output and corresponding corridor and Screenline growth rates are summarized in Table 5.6.

Table 5-6: Overview of Screenline Growth Rates

| Standardized Screenline Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag | Screenline Name | Direction | Model Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2006 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | 2006-2011 | 2011-2016 | 2016-2021 | 2021-2026 | 2026-2031 | 2011-2031 | 2016-2031 |
| 15 | West of Ninth Line | EB | 5212 | 6356 | 7144 | 9208 | 10318 | 11397 | 4.0\% | 2.4\% | 5.2\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% |
|  |  | WB | 7394 | 8409 | 8305 | 12169 | 12544 | 11689 | 2.6\% | -0.2\% | 7.9\% | 0.6\% | -1.4\% | 1.7\% | 23\% |
| Tag | Screenline Name | Direction | Model Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2006 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | 2006-2011 | 2011-2016 | 2016-2021 | 2021-2026 | 2026-2031 | 2011-2031 | 2016-2031 |
| 20 | West Georgetown | EB | 1177 | 1465 | 1694 | 1908 | 2094 | 2231 | 4.5\% | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% |
|  |  | WB | 1358 | 1531 | 1586 | 1552 | 1891 | 2599 | 2.4\% | 0.7\% | -0.4\% | 4.0\% | 6.6\% | 2.7\% | 3.3\% |


| Tag | Screenline Name | Direction | Model Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2006 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | 2006-2011 | 2011-2016 | 2016-2021 | 2021-2026 | 2026-2031 | 2011-2031 | 2016-2031 |
| 74 | West of Winston Churchill | EB | 1236 | 1532 | 2104 | 1946 | 2285 | 1981 | 4.4\% | 6.6\% | -1.5\% | 3.3\% | -2.8\% | 1.3\% | -0.4\% |
|  |  | WB | 2082 | 2281 | 2898 | 2388 | 2157 | 1865 | 1.8\% | 4.9\% | -3.8\% | -2.0\% | -29\% | -1.0\% | -2.9\% |

Northbound / Southbound

| Tag | Screenline Name | Direction | Model Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2006 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | 2006-2011 | 2011-2016 | 2016-2021 | 2021-2026 | 2026-2031 | 2011-2031 | 2016-2031 |
| 56 | East Halton Hills North of Steeles Avenue | NB | 1675 | 2089 | 2708 | 2685 | 2956 | 8097 | 4.5\% | 5.3\% | -0.2\% | 1.9\% | 22.3\% | 7.0\% | 7.6\% |
|  |  | SB | 716 | 855 | 993 | 1053 | 1275 | 4976 | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 1.2\% | 3.9\% | 31.3\% | 9.2\% | 11.3\% |


| Tag | Screenline Name | Direction | Model Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2006 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | 2006-2011 | 2011-2016 | 2016-2021 | 2021-2026 | 2026-2031 | 2011-2031 | 2016-2031 |
| 58 | Georgetown South | NB | 2875 | 3472 | 4242 | 4930 | 5718 | 11007 | 3.8\% | 4.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 14.0\% | 5.9\% | 6.6\% |
|  |  | SB | 951 | 1163 | 1363 | 1831 | 1952 | 4305 | 4.1\% | 3.5\% | 5.8\% | 1.3\% | 17.1\% | 6.8\% | 7.9\% |


| Tag | Screenline Name | Direction | Model Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2006 | 2011 | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | 2006-2011 | 2011-2016 | 2016-2021 | 2021-2026 | 2026-2031 | 2011-2031 | 2016-2031 |
| 59 | Central Georgetown south of 17 th Side Rd | NB | 1578 | 3064 | 3400 | 3823 | 4213 | 4329 | 14.2\% | 2.1\% | 24\% | 2.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 1.6\% |
|  |  | SB | 1156 | 2243 | 2453 | 2481 | 3022 | 3124 | 142\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 4.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.6\% |

Output from the Region's Demand Forecasting model for Screenline Level Deficiency Analysis under 2016 PM traffic condition shows that Ninth Line will be exceeding the minimum capacity threshold and therefore would require improvements.

Figure 5.4 identifies predicted auto volume growth between 2016 and 2031.


Figure 5-4: Predicted Auto Volume Growth between 2016 and 2031
The future volume, and planning and operational capacity of Ninth Line under 2016 and 2031 traffic condition is summarized in Table 5.7.

Table 5-7: Summary of Traffic Characteristics Under 2016 and 2031 Traffic Condition Scenarios

| Roadway Section | Existing 2014 Volume | Planning Capacity | Operational Capacity | V/C Ratio (Planning) | V/C Ratio (Operation) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ninth Line between 10 Side Rd and 5 Side Rd | 830 | 950 | 1472 | 0.87 | 0.56 |
| Ninth Line between 5 Side Rd and Steeles Av | 530 | 950 | 767 | 0.56 | 0.69 |
| Ninth Line south of Steeles Av | 421 | 950 |  | 0.44 |  |
| Roadway Section | Future 2031 Volume | Planning Capacity | Operational Capacity | V/C Ratio <br> (Planning) | V/C Ratio (Operation) |
| Ninth Line between 10 Side Rd and 5 Side Rd | 2265 | 950 | 1473 | 2.38 | 1.54 |
| Ninth Line between 5 Side Rd and Steeles Av | 1785 | 950 | 611 | 1.87 | 2.92 |
| Ninth Line south of Steeles Av | 820 | 950 |  | 0.86 |  |

The lane capacity of a major arterial as identified in the TMP (2011) is approximately 850 vehicles per hour. For long-range roadway planning in the Region, a roadway's level of service is defined as the ratio of volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ). A road with a $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ of 1.0 is completely saturated and cannot theoretically accommodate more vehicles. This condition results in congestion and delays. The Region uses a critical volume to capacity ratio of 0.9 in its transportation master planning analyses to identify road segments in the Regional Road network, which may require improvement.

Based on traffic forecasts discussed above, traffic volumes on Ninth Line between Steeles Avenue to 10 Side Road will approach or exceed the critical capacity levels for a two-lane roadway in the peak direction in the 2021. This clearly indicates that improvements are required to provide acceptable level of service conditions in the future. Therefore, widening to 4 lanes is required in order to meet projected 2031 travel demand.

The development of the 2031 forecasts at the intersection level was developed from the existing TMC and the year 2031 approach and departure volumes as forecasted in the Region's transportation model. Figure 5.5 presents the traffic volumes derived for the future conditions (2031) at the intersections along the Ninth Line corridor.


Figure 5-5: Future Total 2031 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volume

### 5.8 FUture Traffic Conditions (2031)

The operational performance of Ninth Line within the project limits was evaluated for the 2031 horizon year for the 'Do Nothing' scenario. Table 5.8 summarizes the information about the control delay, V/C ratio and LOS for the critical movements and also for the overall intersection obtained from operational analysis of the weekday PM peak hour traffic volume using Synchro/SimTraffic operational analysis software. The detailed Synchro operational analysis reports for the future traffic condition without any improvements are presented in Appendix C.

Table 5-8: Future (2031) Weekday PM Peak Hour Operational Performance under Do Nothing Scenario

| Ninth Line @ | Movement | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Control Delay (seconds) | v/c Ratio | LOS |
| 10 Side Road | Eastbound LT | 441.3 | 1.87 | F |
|  | Northbound LT | 355.3 | 1.71 | F |
|  | Northbound TH-RT | 116.9 | 1.18 | F |
|  | Southbound LT | 675.5 | 2.36 | F |
|  | Overall | 143.1 | 2.12 | $F$ |
| 5 Side Road | Northbound LT-TH-RT | 935.0 | 3.01 | F |
|  | Southbound LT-TH-RT | 545.8 | 2.15 | F |
|  | Overall | 623.9 | 1.85 | $F$ |

### 6.0 CONCLUSION

The operational analysis of the roadway network within the study area, taking into consideration the existing geometrics and no operational improvement, shows that the existing roadway capacity is insufficient to accommodate the projected 2031 future traffic. Additional capacity in the form of geometric improvement and traffic operations improvement are required to maintain the current level of service for the future conditions.

The conclusion of the 2004 TMP to widen the Ninth Line transportation corridor was reaffirmed during the development of the 2011 TMP.

## APPENDIX A

## TMC Data Used in the Analysis





## 10 Side Rd @ Ninth Line

## Total Count Diagram







## APPENDIX B

Existing (2014) Weekday PM Peak Operations

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 虫 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 性 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 185 | 175 | 60 | 25 | 355 | 235 | 190 | 640 | 25 | 130 | 295 | 145 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Storage Length（m） | 59.0 |  | 0.0 | 57.0 |  | 0.0 | 59.0 |  | 0.0 | 64.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.962 |  |  | 0.940 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.951 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1616 | 3264 | 0 | 1678 | 3182 | 0 | 1694 | 3384 | 0 | 1558 | 3105 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.372 |  |  | 0.604 |  |  | 0.477 |  |  | 0.335 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 632 | 3264 | 0 | 1067 | 3182 | 0 | 851 | 3384 | 0 | 549 | 3105 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 61 |  |  | 161 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 119 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 590.7 |  |  | 493.0 |  |  | 377.3 |  |  | 1021.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 26.6 |  |  | 22.2 |  |  | 17.0 |  |  | 61.3 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 8\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 4\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 12\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 187 | 177 | 61 | 25 | 359 | 237 | 192 | 646 | 25 | 131 | 298 | 146 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 187 | 238 | 0 | 25 | 596 | 0 | 192 | 671 | 0 | 131 | 444 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（m） |  | 3.3 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Link Offset（m） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（m） |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed（k／h） | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 39.2 | 39.2 |  | 39.2 | 39.2 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 51．1\％ | 51．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 51．1\％ | 51．1\％ | 0．0\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） | 37.8 | 37.8 |  | 37.8 | 37.8 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.6 | 4.6 |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |




| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 |  | \％ | 性 |  | \％ | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中t |  |
| Volume（vph） | 185 | 175 | 60 | 25 | 355 | 235 | 190 | 640 | 25 | 130 | 295 | 145 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 |  | 6.2 | 6.2 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1613 | 3262 |  | 1678 | 3184 |  | 1694 | 3385 |  | 1557 | 3103 |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.37 | 1.00 |  | 0.60 | 1.00 |  | 0.48 | 1.00 |  | 0.34 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 632 | 3262 |  | 1066 | 3184 |  | 850 | 3385 |  | 549 | 3103 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 187 | 177 | 61 | 25 | 359 | 237 | 192 | 646 | 25 | 131 | 298 | 146 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 187 | 203 | 0 | 25 | 503 | 0 | 192 | 668 | 0 | 131 | 378 | 0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 8\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 4\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 12\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |


| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 265 | 1370 | 448 | 1337 | 378 | 1504 | 244 | 1379 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.06 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.20 |  | 0.12 |
| v／s Ratio Perm | c0．30 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.23 |  | c0．24 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.27 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 21.5 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 18.0 | 17.9 | 17.3 | 18.2 | 15.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.35 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 14.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 0.5 |
| Delay（s） | 36.2 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 18.8 | 27.6 | 24.0 | 26.5 | 16.3 |
| Level of Service | D | B | B | B | C | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 25.1 |  | 18.7 |  | 24.8 |  | 18.6 |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | B |  | C |  | B |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | C |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 21.9 | HCM Level of Service |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.62 |  | 12.2 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 90.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | G |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $102.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

c Critical Lane Group

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 15 | 195 | 20 | 5 | 330 | 235 | 25 | 505 | 0 | 15 | 330 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Fit |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.944 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1851 | 0 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 0 | 1823 | 0 | 0 | 1811 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.969 |  |  | 0.970 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1771 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | 0 | 0 | 1760 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 7 |  |  | 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 1278.9 |  |  | 1255.0 |  |  | 2500.3 |  |  | 2720.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 57.6 |  |  | 56.5 |  |  | 112.5 |  |  | 163.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 15 | 201 | 21 | 5 | 340 | 242 | 26 | 521 | 0 | 15 | 340 | 15 |


| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 587 | 0 | 0 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |


| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | 4 |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |


| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 48.9\% | 48.9\% | 0.0\% | 48.9\% | 48.9\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% | 51.1\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% | 51.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 |  | 38.0 | 38.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  | 38.0 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 39.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.32 |  |  | 0.76 |  |  | 0.71 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 18.2 |  |  | 27.7 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 15.1 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 18.2 |  |  | 27.7 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 15.1 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay | 18.2 |  |  | 27.7 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 15.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 23.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.9\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: $\quad 12: 5$ Side Road \& Ninth Line


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 | - | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 15 | 195 | 20 | 5 | 330 | 235 | 25 | 505 | 0 | 15 | 330 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.94 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1851 |  |  | 1774 |  |  | 1822 |  |  | 1810 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.95 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1771 |  |  | 1770 |  |  | 1771 |  |  | 1759 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 15 | 201 | 21 | 5 | 340 | 242 | 26 | 521 | 0 | 15 | 340 | 15 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 559 | 0 | 0 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 368 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |


| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 38.0 | 8 | 38.0 | 2 | 39.0 | 6 | 39.0 |


| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 38.0 | 38.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 748 | 747 | 767 | 762 |

v/s Ratio Prot

| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.13 | $c 0.32$ | $c 0.31$ | 0.21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.48 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.3 | 22.0 | 20.9 | 18.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.1 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 2.1 |
| Delay (s) | 18.4 | 28.7 | 26.5 | 14.9 |
| Level of Service | C | C | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 18.4 | 28.7 | 26.5 | 14.9 |
| Approach LOS | C | C | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 23.7 | HCM Level of Service | C |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.73 |  | 13.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | D |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $79.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

Future (2031) Weekday PM Peak Operations

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 虫 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 260 | 250 | 85 | 40 | 555 | 370 | 510 | 1695 | 60 | 170 | 390 | 195 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Storage Length（m） | 59.0 |  | 0.0 | 57.0 |  | 0.0 | 59.0 |  | 0.0 | 64.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（m） | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Ped Bike Factor | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.962 |  |  | 0.940 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1616 | 3263 | 0 | 1678 | 3183 | 0 | 1694 | 3387 | 0 | 1558 | 3102 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.197 |  |  | 0.548 |  |  | 0.381 |  |  | 0.100 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 335 | 3263 | 0 | 968 | 3183 | 0 | 679 | 3387 | 0 | 164 | 3102 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 64 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 124 |  |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 590.7 |  |  | 493.0 |  |  | 377.3 |  |  | 1021.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 26.6 |  |  | 22.2 |  |  | 17.0 |  |  | 61.3 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 8\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 4\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 12\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 263 | 253 | 86 | 40 | 561 | 374 | 515 | 1712 | 61 | 172 | 394 | 197 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 263 | 339 | 0 | 40 | 935 | 0 | 515 | 1773 | 0 | 172 | 591 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（m） |  | 3.3 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 3.3 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Link Offset（m） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（m） |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed（k／h） | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 39.2 | 39.2 |  | 39.2 | 39.2 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 0．0\％ | 51．1\％ | 51．1\％ | 0．0\％ | 51．1\％ | 51．1\％ | 0．0\％ |
| Maximum Green（s） | 37.8 | 37.8 |  | 37.8 | 37.8 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.6 | 4.6 |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk（s） | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |


|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 37.8 | 37.8 |  | 37.8 | 37.8 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 |  | 0.42 | 0.42 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 1.87 | 0.24 |  | 0.10 | 0.70 |  | 1.71 | 1.18 |  | 2.36 | 0.41 |  |
| Control Delay | 437.7 | 14.0 |  | 16.7 | 24.7 |  | 345.8 | 113.9 |  | 669.7 | 14.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 437.7 | 14.0 |  | 16.7 | 24.7 |  | 345.8 | 113.9 |  | 669.7 | 14.1 |  |
| LOS | F | B |  | B | C |  | F | F |  | F | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 199.1 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 166.1 |  |  | 161.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 2.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 139.9 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 127.7\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ＊ | 性 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 260 | 250 | 85 | 40 | 555 | 370 | 510 | 1695 | 60 | 170 | 390 | 195 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 |  | 6.2 | 6.2 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1614 | 3263 |  | 1678 | 3183 |  | 1694 | 3386 |  | 1558 | 3102 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.20 | 1.00 |  | 0.55 | 1.00 |  | 0.38 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 335 | 3263 |  | 967 | 3183 |  | 680 | 3386 |  | 164 | 3102 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 263 | 253 | 86 | 40 | 561 | 374 | 515 | 1712 | 61 | 172 | 394 | 197 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 263 | 302 | 0 | 40 | 932 | 0 | 515 | 1770 | 0 | 172 | 522 | 0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 8\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 4\％ | 6\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 12\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |


| Protected Phases |  | 8 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 141 | 1370 | 406 | 1337 | 302 | 1505 | 73 | 1379 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.09 |  | 0.29 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.17 |
| v／s Ratio Perm | c0．79 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.76 |  | c1．05 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.87 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.70 | 1.71 | 1.18 | 2.36 | 0.38 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 26.1 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 21.4 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 16.7 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.47 | 1.47 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 415.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 318.7 | 80.0 | 650.5 | 0.8 |
| Delay（s） | 441.3 | 17.1 | 16.3 | 24.4 | 355.3 | 116.9 | 675.5 | 17.5 |
| Level of Service | F | B | B | C | F | F | F | B |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 202.4 |  | 24.1 |  | 170.5 |  | 165.8 |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  | C |  | F |  | F |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 143.1 | HCM Level of Service | F |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 2.12 |  | 12.2 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 90.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $127.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 245 | 30 | 5 | 275 | 195 | 80 | 1700 | 5 | 55 | 1080 | 45 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.945 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1847 | 0 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 0 | 1823 | 0 | 0 | 1810 | 0 |
| FIt Permitted |  | 0.952 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.773 |  |  | 0.719 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1764 | 0 | 0 | 1770 | 0 | 0 | 1412 | 0 | 0 | 1304 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 60 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 1278.9 |  |  | 1255.0 |  |  | 2500.3 |  |  | 2720.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 57.6 |  |  | 56.5 |  |  | 112.5 |  |  | 163.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 21 | 253 | 31 | 5 | 284 | 201 | 82 | 1753 | 5 | 57 | 1113 | 46 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 1840 | 0 | 0 | 1216 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 | 25 |  | 15 |


|  |  |  | , |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 48.9\% | 48.9\% | 0.0\% | 48.9\% | 48.9\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% | 51.1\% | 0.0\% | 51.1\% | 51.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 |  | 38.0 | 38.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  | 39.0 | 39.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 38.0 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 39.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.41 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  | 3.01 |  |  | 2.14 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 19.7 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 923.5 |  |  | 540.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 19.7 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 923.5 |  |  | 540.8 |  |


| $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Approach Delay | 19.7 |  |  | 25.6 |  |  | 923.5 |  |  | 540.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Pretimed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 3.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 616.8 |  |  | Intersection LOS: F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 156.3\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: $\quad 12: 5$ Side Road \& Ninth Line
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