

Regional Municipality of Halton

New North Oakville Transportation Corridor and Crossing of Sixteen Mile Creek Class Environmental Assessment Study

FINAL Environmental Study Report

March 2010



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I
1 INTRODUCTION	5
 PURPOSE OF ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY REPORT	5 6 7
2 CONSULTATION APPROACH	8
 2.1 PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CONSULTATION EFFORTS. 2.2 AGENCY INVOLVEMENT. 2.2.1 Technical Agencies Committee . 2.3 FIRST NATIONS CONSULTATION . 2.4 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT. 2.4.1 Stakeholder Group. 2.4.2 Public Information Centres (PICs) 2.4.3 Stakeholder Mailing List . 2.5 SUMMARY OF KEY CONSULTATION EVENTS . 2.6 NOTICE OF STUDY COMPLETION/FILING OF THE ESR . 	8 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 .10
3 NEED AND JUSTIFICATION	
 3.1 DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING ROAD NETWORK IN STUDY AREA. 3.1.1 Signalized Intersections. 3.1.2 Unsignalized Intersections. 3.2 COLLISION HISTORY. 3.3 SPEED STUDIES. 3.4 COMMERCIAL VEHICLE TRAFFIC. 3.5 TRANSIT NETWORK. 3.6 PEDESTRIAN/CYCLING FACILITIES. 3.7 FUTURE CONDITIONS. 3.7.1 Land Use. 3.7.2 Transportation System Considerations. 3.7.3 Forecast Volumes. 3.7.4 Assessment. 3.7.5 Sensitivity Testing. 3.8 SUMMARY OF THE NEED AND JUSTIFICATION. 3.8.1 Problem and Opportunity Statement. 	.12 .14 .15 .15 .17 .17 .17 .17 .18 .18 .18 .19 .19 .21 .22 .22 .22
4 EXISTING CONDITIONS	. 23
4.1 PROVINCIAL POLICY FRAMEWORK	

4.1.2 Greenbelt Plan	
4.1.3 The Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe	
4.1.4 The Ministry of Natural Resource's Greenspace Protection	
4.2 NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	
4.2.1 Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat	
4.2.2 Vegetation and Terrestrial Habitat	
4.2.3 Wetlands and Environmentally Sensitive Areas	
4.3 SIGNIFICANT SPECIES	
4.3.1 Surface Water	
4.3.2 Soils	
4.3.3 Topographic Depressions and Wet Features	
4.3.4 Geomorphology	
4.4 SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT.	
4.4.1 Existing Land Use	
4.4.2 Regional Municipality of Halton Official Plan Policies4.4.3 Livable Oakville	
4.4.5 Livable Oakville Secondary Plan	
4.4.4 North Oakvine Secondary Plan 4.5 CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT	
4.5 COLTORAL ENVIRONMENT	
4.5.2 Built and Cultural Heritage Resources	
 5 ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS 	
5.1 LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS	
 5.2 SCREENING THE LONG LIST OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS 5.3 ASSESSMENT OF THE SHORT LIST OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS 	
5.5 ASSESSMENT OF THE SHORT LIST OF ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS	
6 ALTERNATIVE DESIGN CONCEPTS	
6.1 IDENTIFYING THE LONG LIST OF ROUTE ALTERNATIVES	
6.2 SCREENING THE LONG LIST OF ROUTE ALTERNATIVES	
6.3 ASSESSMENT OF THE SHORT LIST OF ROUTE ALTERNATIVES	
6.3.1 Segment A: Ninth Line to Neyagawa Boulevard	
6.3.2 Segment B: Neyagawa Boulevard to Bronte Road	
6.4 ROUTE REFINEMENTS	
6.4.1 Route Refinements	
6.5 THE RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE ROUTE	
6.6 ANTICIPATED IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES	
6.6.1 Natural Environment6.6.2 Social Environment	
6.6.3 Cultural Environment	
7 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
7.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE RECOMMENDED DESIGN	
7.1.1 Forecasted Traffic Volumes on the Recommended Corridor	
7.1.2 Roadway7.1.3 Intersections	

7.1.4 Drainage and Stormwater Management Requirements	
7.1.5 Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge	
7.1.6 Right-of-way Requirements	112
7.1.7 Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities	112
7.1.8 Preliminary Cost Estimate	112
7.2 GEOTECHNICAL / FOUNDATION REQUIREMENTS	112
7.2.1 Regional Geology	113
7.2.2 Subsurface Conditions	113
7.2.3 Foundation Considerations for the Proposed New North Oakville Transportation Corrido	or
Structure	113
7.3 UTILITIES AND SERVICES	114
7.4 CONSTRUCTION IMPLEMENTATION	114
8 MITIGATION MEASURES AND DETAILED DESIGN COMMITMENTS	115

PART II

Preferred Design Plans	1-22
Preferred Design Profiles	
Sixteen Mile Creek Structural Design Drawing	PA-1
Stormwater Management Plans	1-13

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1-1: Study Area	
Exhibit 1-2: Class Environmental Assessment Process	
Exhibit 2-1: Summary of Key Consultation Events	
Exhibit 3-1: Existing ADT Volumes (24 hr).	
Exhibit 3-2: AM & PM Peak Hour Link Traffic Volumes	
Exhibit 3-3: 2006-2007 A.M. Peak Hour Signalized Intersection Analysis	
Exhibit 3-4: 2006-2007 P.M. Peak Hour Signalized Intersection Analysis	
Exhibit 3-5: 2005-2007 A.M. Peak Hour Unsignalized Intersection Analysis	
Exhibit 3-6: 2005-2007 P.M. Peak Hour Unsignalized Intersection Analysis Exhibit 3-7: Intersection Collision Rates	
Exhibit 3-7: Intersection Collision Rates	
Exhibit 3-9: Intersection Collision Experience	
Exhibit 3-9: Intersection Confision Experience	
Exhibit 3-10: Midblock Comston Experience Exhibit 3-11: Speed Data Summary of East-West Arterial Roadways	
Exhibit 3-12: Truck Use of Arterial Roadways in the Study Area	
Exhibit 3-12: Final Land Uses Adjusted to BPE (2003) Totals	
Exhibit 3-14: Level of Service	
Exhibit 3-15: Screenlines for Existing 2001 Conditions	
Exhibit 3-16: Screenlines for 2021 Forecasted Conditions	
Exhibit 3-17: Screenlines for Full Build-out Conditions of North Oakville (Beyond 2021)	
Exhibit 3-18: 2021 P.M. Peak Hour Screenline Assessment – Future Improved Network without Improvement	
Burnhamthorpe	
Exhibit 3-19 Full Build-out P.M. Peak Hour Screenline Assessment – Future Improved Network w	
Improvements to Burnhamthorpe	
Exhibit 3-20: Land Use Forecast Comparison – Best Planning Estimates 2003 & 2007	22
Exhibit 3-20: Land Use Forecast Comparison – Best Planning Estimates 2003 & 2007 Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts	
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts	24 24
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure	24 24 24
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts	24 24 24
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure	24 24 24 28
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification	24 24 24 28 29
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs	24 24 28 29 30
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area	24 24 28 29 30 32 33
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features	24 24 28 29 30 32 33
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts. Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts. Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features. Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area. Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions	24 24 24 28 30 32 32 33 34 40
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts. Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts. Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features. Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area. Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area. Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions.	24 24 24 28 30 30 32 33 34 40 44
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 44
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions. Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-2: Alternative Routes Developed by Stakeholder Group	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 49 50
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-2: Alternative Routes Developed by Stakeholder Group Exhibit 6-3: NOSP, NOMI and Additional Routes Developed by Project Team	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 44 49 50
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-2: Alternative Routes Developed by Stakeholder Group Exhibit 6-3: NOSP, NOMI and Additional Routes Developed by Project Team Exhibit 6-4: Long List of Route Alternatives	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 44 49 51 52
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions. Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions. Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-2: Alternative Routes Developed by Stakeholder Group Exhibit 6-3: NOSP, NOMI and Additional Routes Developed by Project Team Exhibit 6-4: Long List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-5: Route Alternative Screening Segments	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 41 49 51 52 53
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-2: Alternative Routes Developed by Stakeholder Group Exhibit 6-3: NOSP, NOMI and Additional Routes Developed by Project Team Exhibit 6-4: Long List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-5: Route Alternative Screening Segments Exhibit 6-6: Summary of Screening Results from the Long List of Alternative Routes to a Short List	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 40 50 51 52 53 55
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - East Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-2: Alternative Routes Developed by Stakeholder Group Exhibit 6-3: NOSP, NOMI and Additional Routes Developed by Project Team Exhibit 6-4: Long List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-5: Route Alternative Screening Segments Exhibit 6-5: Route Alternative Screening Results from the Long List of Alternative Routes to a Short List Exhibit 6-7: Short List of Route Alternatives	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 49 50 51 52 53 55
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 49 50 51 52 53 55 59 60
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts Exhibit 4-2: 2031 Employment Forecasts Exhibit 4-3: Glenorchy Conservation Area - Current & Proposed Public Lands & Infrastructure Exhibit 4-4: Ecological Land Classification Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville & Vicinity Wetlands & ANSIs Exhibit 4-5: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-6: North Oakville – Milton Wetlands Exhibit 4-7: Topographic Depressions and Wet Features. Exhibit 4-8: Channel Stability - West Study Area. Exhibit 4-9: Channel Stability - West Study Area Exhibit 5-1: Screening the Long List of Alternative Solutions Exhibit 5-2: Assessment of Short List of Alternative Solutions. Exhibit 6-1: Process for Generating and Assessing Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-2: Alternative Routes Developed by Stakeholder Group Exhibit 6-3: NOSP, NOMI and Additional Routes Developed by Project Team Exhibit 6-5: Route Alternative Screening Segments Exhibit 6-5: Route Alternative Screening Segments Exhibit 6-7: Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-8: Route Assessment Segments Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives Exhibit 6-9: Assessment of the Short List of Route Alternatives	24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 44 49 50 51 52 53 55 59 60 rd62
Exhibit 4-1: 2031 Population Forecasts	24 24 24 28 29 30 32 33 34 40 40 40 51 52 53 55 59 60 rd62 172

Exhibit 6-12: Bronte Road Connection Design Alternative 2.86Exhibit 6-13: Bronte Road Connection Design Alternative 3.86Exhibit 6-14: Bronte Road Connection Design Alternative 4.87Exhibit 6-15: Comparison of Bronte Road Connection Alternatives.88Exhibit 6-16: NNOTC Alignment West of Neyagawa Boulevard.89Exhibit 6-17: NNOTC Alignment Vicinity of Sixth Line90Exhibit 6-18: Recommended Alternative Route - E1/W6.92Exhibit 7-1: 2021 Traffic Volumes for the NNOTC98Exhibit 7-2: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design.99Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design.99Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design.99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourse of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category.101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features.101Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Se		
Exhibit 6-14: Bronte Road Connection Design Alternative 4		
Exhibit 6-15: Comparison of Bronte Road Connection Alternatives88Exhibit 6-16: NNOTC Alignment West of Neyagawa Boulevard89Exhibit 6-17: NNOTC Alignment Vicinity of Sixth Line90Exhibit 6-18: Recommended Alternative Route – E1/W6.92Exhibit 7-1: 2021 Traffic Volumes for the NNOTC98Exhibit 7-2: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design98Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features100Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features101Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112		
Exhibit 6-16: NNOTC Alignment West of Neyagawa Boulevard.89Exhibit 6-17: NNOTC Alignment Vicinity of Sixth Line90Exhibit 6-18: Recommended Alternative Route – E1/W6.92Exhibit 7-1: 2021 Traffic Volumes for the NNOTC98Exhibit 7-2: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design.98Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Raised Median) of the Recommended Design.99Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design.99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category.101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features.110Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 6-14: Bronte Road Connection Design Alternative 4	87
Exhibit 6-17: NNOTC Alignment Vicinity of Sixth Line90Exhibit 6-18: Recommended Alternative Route – E1/W6.92Exhibit 7-1: 2021 Traffic Volumes for the NNOTC98Exhibit 7-2: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design.98Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Raised Median) of the Recommended Design.99Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design.99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category.101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 6-15: Comparison of Bronte Road Connection Alternatives	88
Exhibit 6-18: Recommended Alternative Route – E1/W6	Exhibit 6-16: NNOTC Alignment West of Neyagawa Boulevard	89
Exhibit 7-1: 2021 Traffic Volumes for the NNOTC98Exhibit 7-2: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design98Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Raised Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations108Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features100Exhibit 7-15: Projeal Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 6-17: NNOTC Alignment Vicinity of Sixth Line	90
Exhibit 7-2: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design98Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Raised Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria.99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan.99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa).102Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings.104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm.107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements.108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations.109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features.110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section.111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate.112	Exhibit 6-18: Recommended Alternative Route – E1/W6	92
Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Raised Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-1: 2021 Traffic Volumes for the NNOTC	98
Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design99Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category.101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-2: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design	98
Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-6: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category101Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-3: Typical 4-Lane Section (with Raised Median) of the Recommended Design	99
Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan99Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm108Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-4: Typical 5-Lane Section (with Flush Median) of the Recommended Design	99
Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category.101Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-5: Recommended Design Criteria	99
Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)102Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-6: Watercourse Drainage Management Plan	99
Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)103Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-7: Watercourses of the North Oakville Subwatershed by Category	101
Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings104Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-8: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (West of Neyagawa)	102
Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm107Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm107Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-9: Culvert Crossing and SWM Pond Locations (East of Neyagawa)	103
Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm	Exhibit 7-10: Sizing of Temporary CSP Culvert Crossings	104
Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements108Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-11: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Provide Adequate Freeboard for the 50 Year Storm	107
Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations109Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-12: Proposed Culvert Crossings Size Check to Maintain Road as Flood Free for Regional Storm	107
Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features.110Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section111Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate112	Exhibit 7-13: Right-of-Way Drainage Areas & Estimated SWM Storage Requirements	108
Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section	Exhibit 7-14: Proposed Future SWM Pond Locations	109
Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate	Exhibit 7-15: Bridge Crossing Features	.110
•	Exhibit 7-16: Typical Sixteen Mile Creek Bridge Crossing Cross-Section	.111
Exhibit 8-1: Detailed Design Commitments	Exhibit 7-17: Preliminary Cost Estimate	.112
	Exhibit 8-1: Detailed Design Commitments	115

APPENDICES (Under Separate Cover)

APPENDIX A – Notices APPENDIX B – Agency Consultation APPENDIX C – First Nations Consultation APPENDIX D – Public Consultation APPENDIX E – Archaeological Assessment APPENDIX F – Cultural Heritage Assessment APPENDIX G – Fluvial Geomorphological Assessment APPENDIX H – Geotechnical Overview APPENDIX I – Hydrogeological Assessment APPENDIX J – Natural Environment Review APPENDIX K – Noise Assessment APPENDIX L – Pavement Design Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Environmental Assessment Study and Report

The Regional Municipality of Halton (Halton Region) initiated this Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Study in the fall of 2004 to identify existing and future transportation problems and opportunities in the Study Area and to determine a preferred solution. This EA was undertaken in accordance with Schedule 'C' of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment, June 2000 which was the policy in effect at the project initiation. In 2007 the Municipal Engineers Association (MEA) completed a five year review of the Class EA and proposed a series of amendments that included a new component for municipal transit projects as well as revisions to existing schedules to reflect changes in legislation and planning practices. The amendments were approved by the Minister of Environment in September 2007. This Class EA and the Environmental Study Report (ESR) are compliant with the amended Class EA document.

Several previous studies undertaken by Halton Region and others had identified the need for improvements to the Burnhamthorpe Road transportation corridor. This report documents the planning and preliminary design components for what is now known as the New North Oakville Transportation Corridor (NNOTC) and Crossing of Sixteen Mile Creek Class EA Study.

The ESR documents the planning and decision making process, including public-consultation, which was followed to arrive at the preferred design. The ESR also sets out mitigating measures proposed to avoid or minimize environmental impacts both during and after construction.

Specifically, this ESR documents:

- the background to the study;
- the consultation process; •
- the need and justification for the study; ٠
- description of existing conditions; •
- the alternative solutions and design concepts;
- description of the recommended design; and
- monitoring and mitigation during and after construction.

Background

The Study Area was established by Halton Region and includes the area of Burnhamthorpe Road bounded to the north by 407 ETR, to the east by Ninth Line (Regional Road 13), to the west by Bronte Road (Regional Road 25), and to the south by Dundas Street (Regional Road 5).



Some of the key issues identified by previous studies included:

- The need to provide for all modes of travel in the corridor including automobiles, trucks, transit, pedestrians and cyclists;
- Provision of safe access to adjacent existing and planned commercial, institutional and residential developments;
- Consideration of impacts on Sixteen Mile Creek and the Trafalgar Moraine;
- Consideration of aesthetics, streetscape, safety, noise, property impacts and other community issues; •
- Recognition of transit opportunities along Burnhamthorpe Road; and ٠
- Planned levels of population and employment growth for determination of future travel demand.

Within the Study Area of this Class EA, the following tasks were undertaken:

- Identify existing and long-term mobility requirements in the New North Oakville Transportation Corridor the purpose of this study, the 2011 and 2021 planning horizons were considered;
- Examine the need and justification for transportation improvements in the New North Oakville Transportation accommodate long term transportation demands for all modes of travel;
- provision of additional vehicular capacity within the Study Areas;
- auto, walking, cycling, transit);
- and values of the public and affected agencies/Ministries, etc.;
- Identify measures needed to mitigate impacts and public concerns associated with the recommended improvements:
- Prepare a preliminary design for the improvements; and

based upon realistic and achievable estimates for future growth within and adjacent to the Study Area. For

Corridor to not only resolve existing traffic congestion but more importantly to efficiently and safely

Identify significant technical, environmental and public issues, concerns and constraints associated with the

• Identify a broad range of planning alternatives that recognize the contribution of various modes of travel (e.g.

Complete an assessment of alternative planning solutions and design concepts that incorporates the concerns

• Prepare an ESR that documents all public input and comments and complies with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment for Schedule "C" undertakings.

As noted previously, the Class EA Study for the NNOTC and Crossing of the Sixteen Mile Creek was initiated in the fall of 2004. The overall study schedule was extended in order to better coordinate with the planning process for lands in North Oakville. The North Oakville East Secondary Plan was approved by the Ontario Municipal Board in January 2008 while the North Oakville West Secondary Plan was approved in May 2009 by Oakville Council.

Study analyses and results were documented as each phase of the Study was completed. Individual components were then assembled to form this ESR. This documentation process allowed for more timely review and endorsement of Study recommendations as each milestone was reached. Information distributed or presented to agencies, stakeholders and the public at Study milestones (appended to this ESR) occasionally make reference to studies, reports, plans or data that have since been updated. Although data and/or assumptions used in Study analyses were the most current available at the time the work was performed, in some cases such information has been revised or new data has become available. For example, future population and employment estimates (Region of Halton Best Planning Estimates of Population, Occupied Dwelling Units and Employment 2007 – 2021) for North Oakville were revised subsequent to the completion of the Study need and justification component. New traffic count data on the area road network became available and other relevant studies were completed as the Study progressed.

In order to ensure the Study recommendations and conclusions for the need and justification have remained valid, checks of critical assumptions were made. Transportation and transit data, policies and plans and travel demand forecasts that were used to support the rationale for road network improvements have been rechecked with the data available in spring 2008. Report documentation has been updated where appropriate.

Need and Justification

In order to evaluate the existing roadway conditions within the Study Area, the following roads were analysed:

- Dundas Street (Regional Road 5);
- Burnhamthorpe Road (Regional Road 27);
- Bronte Road (Regional Road 25); •
- Neyagawa Boulevard (Regional Road 4); •
- Sixth Line; •
- Trafalgar Road (Regional Road 3) and; •
- Ninth Line (Regional Road 13).

A review of existing and future conditions indicates:

- East-west travel across the Study Area is approaching capacity;
- Individual east-west roadways within the Study Area are already operating at or beyond capacity;
- Even with the implementation of planned transportation improvements in the Study Area (e.g. Dundas St. • widening) capacity deficiencies will occur with the approved level of development, unless additional improvements/capacity are provided; and
- The travel demand forecasting analysis was adjusted to account for revisions to population and employment forecasts. Results confirm the need for additional east-west capacity through the Study Area from Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) to Ninth Line (Regional Road 13).

Planning Alternatives

The following "long list" of alternative solutions was identified to address the need for transportation improvements within the Study Area. Road system expansion alternatives include those east-west transportation corridors that have both reasonable proximity to the Study Area and potential to address problems/opportunities. The degree of widening for each alternative is defined on the basis of the number of additional lanes that could reasonably be added to existing corridors and the capacity required to adequately accommodate future east-west travel demand through the Study Area.

Do Nothing

- Included as a benchmark for the assessment of the other alternatives

Transportation System Improvements (non-expansion)

- signal optimization, transit signal priority, intersection improvements, transit queue jump lanes)
- increased frequency of service and new routes)

Provide Transit Supportive/Dedicated Infrastructure

Reserved Bus Lanes, Light Rail Transit

Road System Expansion (Bronte Road to Ninth Line)

- Widen Highway 407 the 407 corridor has provision to expand the freeway up to 10 lanes
- Widen Dundas Street to 10 lanes •
- Widen Lower Baseline to 4 lanes •
- Widen Burnhamthorpe corridor to 4 lanes (existing or new alignment) •

This long list of alternative solutions was reviewed with Project Team members, the Technical Agencies Committee and the Stakeholder Group to "screen-out" unsuitable alternatives and ensure that only the alternative solutions that adequately address the identified transportation problems/opportunities were carried through to the detailed assessment. Based on this extensive review, three alternative solutions were carried forward for a more detailed assessment: widening Dundas Street, widening the Burnhamthorpe corridor and "Do Nothing". Although the "Do Nothing" alternative solution does not address the identified transportation problems/opportunities, it was carried forward for further assessment as a benchmark for comparison.

Thorough assessment of the three alternative solutions (developed in consultation with public and agency stakeholders) resulted in the widening of Burnhamthorpe Road on an existing or new alignment as the preferred alternative over Dundas Street widening on the basis of the following rationale:

Transportation Criteria

- Travel safety can be an issue with 8 to 10 general purpose lanes within the Dundas Street corridor.
- Plan or North Oakville development plans.
- to 10 general purpose lanes.

Natural Environment

• exception of groundwater and surface water drainage.

Involves no physical and/or operational modifications to transportation infrastructure in the Study Area

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) - reduce auto usage (e.g. car pooling, land use planning) Transportation Systems Management (TSM) - maximize existing road capacities for all modes (e.g. Transit Service Enhancements (e.g. service increases that do not trigger major road expansion such as

Dundas Street widening to 8 to 10 lanes is not compatible with the Halton Region Transportation Master

Dundas Street has been identified as a future rapid transit corridor which would be less effective with 8

Burnhamthorpe Road widening has fewer impacts to natural environmental features/systems with the

Social/Cultural/Economic Environment

- Burnhamthorpe Road has fewer impacts on most criteria except for potential impacts to archaeological resources.
- Burnhamthorpe Road has less potential impacts to individual residential properties (displacements plus ٠ property impacts, especially to frontage) than a Dundas Street widening.

Engineering/Cost

- Dundas Street widening has higher engineering impacts in terms of construction staging, utility relocations and number of affected properties.
- Costs of Dundas Street widening and Burnhamthorpe Road widening may be comparable. • Burnhamthorpe Road costs are route dependent as the highest costs relate to length of route and location and length of the Sixteen Mile Creek crossing. Widening the Burnhamthorpe corridor on its existing alignment would also require a new bridge crossing over Highway 407.

Design Alternatives

A long list of route alternatives was first identified by the Project Team based on constraint mapping, field investigations and input from external agencies. Through further consultation with stakeholders, additional route suggestions were added to the long list of route alternatives. Each route alternative was assessed against Transportation Engineering, Natural Environment and Social Environment screening criteria. The screening process removed route alternatives considered to be significantly disadvantaged in terms of net affects for the noted criteria as determined by Project Team specialist review. A more detailed list of criteria was developed to assess the short list of route alternatives.

The Study Area was divided into two segments (Segment A - Neyagawa Boulevard to Ninth Line and Segment B -Neyagawa Boulevard to Bronte Road) for the assessment of the short list of route alternatives. This enabled the Project Team to evaluate the unique qualities of each section of the route in more detail and to mix and match alternatives that had common points of intersection in order to optimize the overall solution. Given the complexity and importance of the Sixteen Mile Creek features and natural functions, the crossing of the creek was a major factor in the selection process.

The assessment results identified Route E1 (Pink) in Segment A and Route W6 (Blue) in Segment B as the Recommended Alternative Route. Based on input received from the public and external agencies prior to, at and subsequent to the second Public Information Centre (PIC), several localized route refinements were made to the Recommended Route for the New North Oakville Transportation Corridor (NNOTC); in the vicinity of Bronte Road; the section west of Neyagawa Boulevard; and in the vicinity of Sixth Line.

The key rationale for the Recommended Alternative Route, illustrated below (full-size version of Exhibit 6-18 found in **Section 6**), is as follows:

Neyagawa Boulevard to Ninth Line - E1 (Pink segment of route)

- Most Preferred in Transportation, Social, Cultural and Economic Environments and Engineering;
- Majority of impacts to Natural Environment can be mitigated; •
- Avoids the majority of properties fronting Burnhamthorpe Road;
- Property frontage impacts west of Ninth Line and east of Nevagawa Boulevard; •
- One residential building displaced at Ninth Line; and
- Located primarily within planned future employment lands in the North Oakville East Secondary Plan (NOESP) and the North Oakville West Secondary Plan (NOWSP).

Bronte Road to Neyagawa Boulevard - W6 (Blue segment of the route)

- Overall Most Preferred in Transportation, Social, Cultural and Economic Environments, and Engineering;
- of Neyagawa Boulevard);
- Valley Park); and
- Majority of impacts to Natural Environment (i.e. fisheries resources, etc.) can be mitigated.



Consultation Approach

The involvement of the community – residents, stakeholders, agencies and those who may be potentially affected by a project – is an integral part of the Class EA process. The purpose of the EA Study consultation process is to provide an opportunity for stakeholder groups and the public to gain an understanding of the study process; contribute to the process for development and selection of alternatives; and provide feedback and advice at important stages in the EA process. Specifically, the consultation efforts had the following objectives:

- Generate awareness of the project and provide opportunities for involvement throughout the planning process; and
- Facilitate constructive input from public and agency stakeholders at key points in the EA process, prior to decision-making.

The main areas of concern raised by the public related to property impacts, property loss, entrance modifications, traffic operations and safety, and environmental impacts such as noise, impact on the Sixteen Mile Creek and vegetation, and the potential loss of heritage buildings and impact on groundwater and wells. These issues have been addressed throughout the EA Study process and documented in this ESR.

• Impacts to property frontages on Burnhamthorpe Road and displacement of three residential buildings (west

Minimizes the crossing length of Sixteen Mile Creek valley in close proximity to disturbed valley area (Lions

Project Description

The Recommended Design for the NNOTC between Bronte Road and Ninth Line, as illustrated in the design plans, profiles and drawings in Part II of this ESR, includes:

- 4-lane roadway comprised of 2 through lanes per direction with turning lanes at intersections;
- On-road bike lanes and a 3.0 m multi-use pathway on both sides of the roadway; and
- New bridge crossing of Sixteen Mile Creek.

Between Bronte Road and Sixteen Mile Creek, the preferred alignment runs north and parallel to Dundas Street. East of Sixteen Mile Creek, the preferred alignment runs north to meet existing Burnhamthorpe Road west of Fourth Line. From east of Neyagawa Boulevard to Ninth Line, the alignment runs between existing Burnhamthorpe Road and Highway 407 to just west of Ninth Line where the alignment runs south to meet existing Burnhamthorpe Road.

Property acquisition will be required for the new corridor. A basic right-of-way width of 35 m is proposed with additional property acquisition required at intersection locations for turning lanes and daylight triangles (15m x 15m). Additional property will also be required in areas of cut and fill where the grading requirements extend beyond the basic 35m right-of-way width.

The preliminary construction cost associated with the recommended design, including the proposed structure, is estimated at \$205 million.

Monitoring During and After Construction

Many of the environmental concerns related to this project have been mitigated through the process by which the recommended design was selected, as described in this ESR. The anticipated impacts and proposed mitigation measures have been described in **Section 6.6**. A detailed list of specific commitments to be carried forward to Phase 5 of the Municipal Class EA process, Implementation, is provided in **Section 8.0**. These commitments have been developed through discussion with Conservation Halton and other authorities. Monitoring of construction activities must ensure that all environmental standards and commitments for construction are met. Halton Region will work with Conservation Halton and other authorities, during detail design and prior to the start of construction to ensure that the proposed works are acceptable and to obtain required permits.