# Goodmans

Barristers & Solicitors

Bay Adelaide Centre 333 Bay Street, Suite 3400 Toronto, Ontario M5H 2S7

Telephone: 416.979.2211 Facsimile: 416.979.1234 goodmans.ca

Direct Line: 416.597.4136 mnoskiewicz@goodmans.ca

December 15, 2011

Our File No.: 08.2317

Sent Via Courier and Via E-mail

Ministry of Municipal Affairs & Housing Municipal Services Office – Central Ontario 777 Bay Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M5G 2E5 RECEIVED MUNICIPAL SERVICES OFFICE

DEC 15 2011

CENTRAL REGION MINISTRY OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS AND HOUSING

Attention: Andrew Doersam, Senior Planner

Dear Mr. Doersam:

Re: Amendment No. 38 to the Regional Municipality of Halton Official Plan ("ROPA 38");

Notice of Decision dated November 24, 2011 (MMAH File No. 24-OP-0027-038); Notice of Appeal pursuant to Section 17(36) of the Planning Act by Milton Phase 3 Landowners Group Inc.

We are solicitors for Milton Phase 3 Landowners Group Inc. (also known as the Milton Boyne Survey Landowners Group). On behalf of our client, we hereby appeal the above-noted Decision of the Minister in respect of ROPA 38 to the Ontario Municipal Board. This Notice of Appeal applies to the entirety of ROPA 38, as approved with modifications by the Minister.

Our client (hereinafter the "MP3 Landowners") represents a group of landowners within the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan area with respect to financial, cost sharing and development-related matters. These landowners own approximately 750 hectares (1,850 acres) of land, comprising approximately 70% of the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan Area. The Secondary Plan area is bounded by Louis St. Laurent Avenue to the north, James Snow Parkway to the east, Britannia Road to the south and Tremaine Road to the west, and has been part of Milton's urban area since the introduction of the Halton Urban Structure Plan.

Our client provided comments to the Region of Halton during the processing of ROPA 38, including comments set forth in a letter from us dated July 7, 2009, and comments set forth in a letter from Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc. ("GSAI") dated November 12, 2009.

The main concern of the MP3 Landowners with ROPA 38 is the new NHS (Natural Heritage System) policies. In its letter to the Region of November 12, 2009, GSAI suggested that ROPA 38 should contain a Boyne-specific NHS clause whereby the Natural Heritage System for the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan area would be defined through the Town's Secondary Plan

# Goodmans

process. A number of reasons were given for this, including the fact that the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan process and the related Subwatershed Study update were initiated prior to the initiation of the Region's SHP Natural Heritage System enhancement process.

Subsequent to GSAI's request for a Boyne-specific NHS clause in ROPA 38, the Town of Milton adopted the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan on June 14, 2010. The Preamble to the Plan sets forth the Town's understanding that ROPA 38 is not applicable to the Secondary Plan: "An updated growth management strategy has recently been adopted by the Region through the Sustainable Halton process (Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 38 – ROPA 38); however, it has not been finally approved and is not applicable to this Plan."

ROPA 38, as adopted by the Region and as approved by the Province with modifications, does not contain a Boyne specific NHS clause as was requested by GSAI. It also does not contain a policy that confirms the Town of Milton's understanding that ROPA 38 is not applicable to the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan. As well, ROPA 38 identifies Key Features on Map 1F that are not designated as part of the Greenlands/Natural Heritage System identified in the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan adopted by the Town. While Section 116.1 of the Region's Official Plan, as amended by ROPA 38, provides for the updating of, and refinements to the boundaries of, the Region's Natural Heritage System, the extent to which such updates and/or refinements would be permitted in the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan area is not clear.

The MP3 Landowners and their NHS consultants are having continuing discussions with the Town of Milton and its NHS consultants as to the definition of, and implementation of, an appropriate NHS framework for the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan area. The concerns of the MP3 Landowners with the new NHS policies introduced by ROPA 38 may be resolved or narrowed by the Region's approval of the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan and the NHS policies contained therein. However, at this time, in advance of the approval of the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan, the MP3 Landowners believe that they must appeal the NHS policies of ROPA 38 (including, without limitation, the amendments to Sections 113 to 132 inclusive of the Region's Official Plan [Items 232 to 266 of ROPA 38 and MMAH modifications 90 to 103]; the amendments to the Definitions used in the NHS policies [part of Items 562 to 616 of ROPA 38 and MMAH modifications 126 to 156]; and the amendments to the Maps affecting the NHS policies, including Maps 1 and 1F [Items 620 and 621 of ROPA 38 and MMAH modifications 159 and 163], on the basis that the ROPA 38 NHS policies are too restrictive and go further than is necessary or appropriate to address Provincial policies with respect to natural heritage matters.

In addition to the NHS policies of ROPA 38, the MP3 Landowners continue to have concerns with the provision in ROPA 38 which introduces, by way of an amendment to Section 143(9) of the Region's Official Plan, a requirement for air quality studies for development within 1,000 metres of a rail yard. As set forth in GSAI's letter of November 12, 2009, any such policy needs to set forth an acceptable terms of reference and/or scope of work for the required air quality study.

# Goodmans

The MP3 Landowners also continue to have concerns with the amendments to Map 4 – Right-of-Way Requirements for Arterial Roads (Item 620 of ROPA 38). In particular, the MP3 Landowners are concerned with the increased 47 metre right-of-way being shown for Tremaine Road between Main Street and Britannia Road. As set forth in our letter to the Region dated May 16, 2011, it is the opinion of the MP3 Landowners and their consultants that the widening of Tremaine from Main to Britannia can be accommodated within a 35 metre right-of-way. It is also inappropriate to establish a 47 metre right-of-way for Tremaine, in the Region's Official Plan, in advance of an approved Environmental Assessment.

The MP3 Landowners are also concerned with MMAH modification 22, which appears to require, through amendments to Section 77(5) of the Region's Official Plan, the application of Minimum Distance Separation (MDS) formulae within Urban Areas. MDS formulae should not be applied within Urban Areas generally, and certainly should not be applied to HUSP lands such as the Boyne Survey Secondary Plan area.

For the above-noted reasons, and more detailed reasons that will be advanced at the hearing of this appeal, our client hereby appeals ROPA 38 as modified by the Minister, in its entirety, to the Ontario Municipal Board.

The MP3 Landowners and their advisors are continuing to review the details of ROPA 38 and the Minister's Decision. While this appeal applies to all of ROPA 38, we anticipate being in a position to scope the appeal in due course.

Please find enclosed a completed Appellant Form (A1), as well as a cheque made payable to the Minister of Finance in the order of \$125.00 for the applicable appeal fee. If there is anything else that you require, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Please acknowledge receipt of this appeal.

Yours very truly,

Goodmans LLP

Original signed by

Mark Noskiewicz MRN/dl Encl.

cc:

Carmela Liggio Colin Chung

\6031300



## Environment and Land Tribunals Ontario

Ontario Municipal Board
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500 Toronto, Ontario M5G 1E5
TEL: (416) 212-6349 or Toll Free: 1-866-448-2248
FAX: (416) 326-5370

Date Stamp - Appeal Received by Municipality

I AA.	(410) 320-3370	
www.e	elto.gov.on.ca	

#### APPELLANT FORM (A1) PLANNING ACT

### SUBMIT COMPLETED FORM TO MUNICIPALITY/APPROVAL AUTHORITY

Receipt Number (OMB Office Use Only)	

### Part 1: Appeal Type (Please check only one box)

SUBJECT OF APPEAL	TYPE OF APPEAL	PLANNING ACT REFERENCE (SECTION)	
Minor Variance	Appeal a decision	45(12)	
	Appeal a decision		
Consent/Severance	Appeal conditions imposed	53(19)	
	Appeal changed conditions	53(27)	
	Failed to make a decision on the application within 90 days	53(14)	
	Appeal the passing of a Zoning By-law	34(19)	
Zoning By-law or	Application for an amendment to the Zoning By-law – failed to make a decision on the application within 120 days	34(11)	
Zoning By-law Amendment	Application for an amendment to the Zoning By-law – refused by the municipality		
Interim Control By-law			
	Appeal a decision	17(24) or 17(36)	
	Failed to make a decision on the plan within 180 days	17(40)	
Official Plan or Official Plan Amendment	Application for an amendment to the Official Plan – failed to make a decision on the application within 180 days	22(7)	
	Application for an amendment to the Official Plan – refused by the municipality		
	Appeal a decision	51(39)	
Plan of Subdivision	Appeal conditions imposed	51(43) or 51(48)	
	Failed to make a decision on the application within 180 days	51(34)	

### Part 2: Location Information

Boyne Survey Secondary Plan Area (lands bounded by Louis St. Laurent Avenue,	James Snow Parkway, Britannia Road,
Address and/or Legal Description of property subject to the appeal:	Termaine Road

Municipality/L	Innastias	Town	of Milton
iviumicinami v/t	lober liet	LOWI	OI WILLION

Part 3: Appell	ant Information		
First Name:		Last Name:	
Milton Phase 3	Landowners Group Inc. (c/o Carme	ela Liggio, Delta Urban Inc.)	
Company Name	or Association Name (Association must be	oe incorporated – include copy of le	tter of incorporation)
Professional Title	(if applicable):		
E-mail Address:	carmela@deltaurban.com		
	By providing an e-mail address you	agree to receive communications from the	OMB by e-mail.
Daytime Telepho	ne #: 905-660-7667 ext. 232	Alternate Telephone #:	
Fax #: 905-660	-7076		
Mailing Address	8800 Dufferin Street	Suite 104	Vaughan
Mailing Address.	Street Address	Apt/Suite/Unit#	City/Town
	Ontario		L4K OC5
	Province	Country (if not Canada)	Postal Code
Signature of Appe	ellant:	appeal is submitted by a law office.	Date:
	(Signature not required if the	appeal is submitted by a law office.	)
and the Ontario N	tion requested on this form is collected underlined Board Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O. 2 ilable to the public.	inder the provisions of the <i>Planning</i> 28 as amended. After an appeal is fi	Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended iled, all information relating to this appea
Part A: Panras	entative Information (if applicable		
First Name: Mar	rize the named company and/or inc k Goodmans LLP		
	Solicitor		
=-mail Address: _	mnoskiewicz@goodmans.ca By providing an e-mail address you a	gree to receive communications from the	OMB by e-mail.
Daytime Telephor	ne #: _416-597-4136	Alternate Telephone #:	
ax #: 416-979-	1234		
Mailing Address:	333 Bay Street	Suite 3400	Toronto
yan gara di kara da 🛥 ni ni yanga da karata da kar	Street Address	Apt/Suite/Unit#	City/Town
	Ontario		M5H 2S7
	Original signed by	Country (if not Canada)	Postal Code
Signature of Appe	llant:	,	Date:
	(Good Man	s (19)	
	ou are representing the appellant and a	are NOT a solicitor, please confirm	n that you have written authorization, a Please confirm this by checking the bo
	have written authorization from the appenderstand that I may be asked to produc		respect to this appeal on his or her

A1 Revised April 2010 Page 3 of 5

Part 5: Language and Accessibility					
Please choose preferred language: English French					
We are committed to providing services as set out in the Accessibility for any accessibility needs, please contact our Accessibility Coordinator as				lities Act, 2005.	f you have
Part 6: Appeal Specific Information	Mar.	rik Yy			
<ol> <li>Provide specific information about what you are appealing. F Number(s), Official Plan Number(s) or Subdivision Number(s):</li> </ol>	or exa	mple: M	unicipa	l File Number(	s), By-law
(Please print)					
Amendment No. 38 to the Regional Municipality of Halton Official Plan (I	ROPA :	38) - MMA	H File	No. 24-OP-0027	-038
<ol> <li>Outline the nature of your appeal and the reasons for your appeal. E (for example: the specific provisions, sections and/or policies of the your appeal - if applicable). **If more space is required, please continuous.</li> </ol>	e Officia	al Plan or	By-lav	w which are the	subject of
(Please print)					
See the attached letter.					
THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS (a&b) APPLY ONLY TO APPEALS SECTION 34(11) OF THE PLANNING ACT.	of Zo	NING BY	/-LAW	AMENDMENTS	UNDER
a) DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO MUNICIPALITY:  (If application submitted before January 1, 2007 please use the O1 'pre	-Bill 51	' form.)			
b) Provide a brief explanatory note regarding the proposal, which include category, the purpose of the desired zoning by-law change, and a des **If more space is required, please continue in Part 9 or attach a separation.	cription	of the lar			zoning
Part 7: Related Matters (if known)	4-3-81	27.4	Bet.	THE STREET	- S. J.
Are there other appeals not yet filed with the Municipality?	YES	Г	NO	Ιx	
Are there other planning matters related to this appeal? (For example: A consent application connected to a variance application)	YES	Γ	NO	Īχ	
f yes, please provide OMB Reference Number(s) and/or Municipal File No	umber(	s) in the b	ox belo	ow:	
(Please print)			-		

Part 8: Scheduling Information				
How many days do you estimate are needed for hearing this appeal?	half da	ау	1 day	2 days 3 da
4 days 1 week More than 1 week – please specif	y numbe	er of days	i	
How many expert witnesses and other witnesses do you expect to have a At least three.	at the he	earing pro	viding	evidence/testimony?
Describe expert witness(es)' area of expertise (For example: land use pland use planner, natural heritage consultants, engineers.	nner, ai	rchitect, e	enginee	er, etc.):
Do you believe this matter would benefit from mediation? (Mediation is generally scheduled only when all parties agree to participate)	YES	ΙX	NO	Г
Do you believe this matter would benefit from a prehearing conference? (Prehearing conferences are generally not scheduled for variances or consents)	YES	Ιx	NO	
If yes, why?To scope issues.				- Company And for the
Part 9: Other Applicable Information **Attach a separate page if mo	re spac	e is requ	ired.	- 4.4W
				1)
	MACHINELL			
Part 10: Required Fee			WAYE.	
Total Fee Submitted: \$ 125.00				
Payment Method: Certified cheque Money Order	S S	olicitor's	genera	I or trust account che
<ul> <li>The payment must be in Canadian funds, payable to the Mir</li> </ul>	ister of	Finance	•	
Do not send cash.				
<ul> <li>PLEASE ATTACH THE CERTIFIED CHEQUE/MONEY ORD</li> </ul>	ER TO	THE FRO	O TAC	F THIS FORM.